From: Planning Public Comment	PMM
Sent: Wednesday, November 6, 2024 9:10 AM	PC Meeting 11/06/24
To: Lesley Xavier <lxavier@santaclaraca.gov>; Alex Telle</lxavier@santaclaraca.gov>	RTC 24-968
<atellez@santaclaraca.gov></atellez@santaclaraca.gov>	Item 4
<b>Cc:</b> Alexander Abbe <aabbe@santaclaraca.gov>; Elizabeth Klotz <eklotz@santacl< td=""><td>araCA.gov&gt;</td></eklotz@santacl<></aabbe@santaclaraca.gov>	araCA.gov>
Subject: FW: SC Planning Commission NOTICE for November 6, 2024: PLN24-0034	3

Hello,

Your email has been received in the Planning Division and will be part of the public record on this item.

Thank you,

ELIZABETH ELLIOTT | Staff Aide II

Community Development Department | Planning Division 1500 Warburton Avenue | Santa Clara, CA 95050 O : 408.615.2450 Direct : 408.615.2474

From: yerduanna

Sent: Saturday, November 2, 2024 3:57 PM

**To:** Planning Public Comment <<u>PlanningPublicComment@santaclaraca.gov</u>>

Subject: SC Planning Commission NOTICE for November 6, 2024: PLN24-00343

You don't often get email from Learn why this is important

Dear Commission members;

I have enclosed a file for public comments of PLN24-00343. I regret that I may not be able to attend the meeting.

Audrey Mitchell

761 Cornell Drive Santa Clara, California, 95051 November 2, 2024

Dear Santa Clara City Planning Commissioners;

Thank you for the notice of a public hearing to be held on Wednesday, November 6, 2024 at 6:00PM regarding PLN24-00343.

Your notice did not include the necessary details such as the purpose of the internal illuminate freestanding monument sign, the purpose of a 16 foot square feet halo-illuminate wall sign, and a seven & half square feet self halo-illuminated real estate sign in the multi-family apartment complex. It is followed up by a CEQA Determination: Categorically exempt per Section 15311 (Accessory Structures).

I am assuming a purpose and provide solutions which I hope would not require a Variance of the zoning code.

The structures presented are NOT "accessory structures." If there is no code for accessory structures signs then this is a problem.

I assume the property needs a map of the apartment's units. That map does not need to be 7' x 7'. It is not an efficient use of energy, nor an efficient presentation for people locating where to go. It is too much information in one place. A smaller sign would work out much better logically, efficiently, and it would contain a map of the apartments location and a range of the apartments numbers within each unit; that range can be listed in its apartment unit's graphic in the map. The map for the location of any one apartment would be best located at the entry of the unit that contains the apartment. That would be easier for people to understand.

I believe the best place for the 16 square feet wall sign is in the entry of each unit to locate an individual apartment. That map is appropriate inside the apartment unit and is better served for people to understand. The unit map inside the apartments would not need illumination since the hallways and entry would provide the light for the sign. I assume you have identified apartments with unique numbers and possibly letters to identify each single apartment across the total apartment complex.

The seven and one-half feet real estate sign, if it really needs to be that large, would be best placed inside the apartment unit housing the manager's office; inside the building. List number of apartments per category, rather than each individual apartment. The unit map inside the apartments would not need illumination since the hallways and entry would provide the light for the sign. Use commercials for advertising apartment unit availability. A small sign at the

I do not approve the request for variance. I believe the above would provide the information in a best structured form.

Sincerely yours,

Audrey A. Mitchell