CITA CE SVILV OF VSV

2021 APR 21 PM 2: 20

Filing fee Exempt Per Gov. Code § 6103

Steven G. Churchwell schurchwell@buchalter.com BUCHALTER, APC 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1900

3 | Sacramento, CA 95814 Tel: (916) 945-5168 4 | Fax: (916) 945-5170

1

2

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

Attorneys for Defendant City of Santa Clara

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

9 LADONNA YUMORI KAKU, WESLEY KAZUO MUKOYAMA, UMAR KAMAL, MICHAEL KAKU, and HERMINIO HERNANDO,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CITY OF SANTA CLARA; and DOES 1 to 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 17-CV-319862

STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF SECOND AMENDED JUDGMENT AND [PROPOSED] SECOND AMENDED JUDGMENT

Assigned to: Department 5 Hon. Patricia M. Lucas

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF SECOND AMENDED JUDGMENT

Plaintiffs (LADONNA YUMORI KAKU, WESLEY KAZUO MUKOYAMA, UMAR KAMAL, MICHAEL KAKU, and HERMINIO HERNANDO) and Defendant (CITY OF SANTA CLARA), collectively "the Parties," hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs and Defendant City of Santa Clara ("City") have entered into a Settlement Agreement and Release ("Agreement") in order to provide for the resolution of this action. A copy of that Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. The Agreement recites this Court's, and the Court of Appeal's, prior decisions and orders in this case, contains terms for its disposition including entry of a Second Amended Judgment, and includes a release of Plaintiffs' claims conditioned upon entry of that proposed Second Amended Judgment. In and pursuant to that Agreement the Parties jointly request the Court to enter the proposed Second Amended Judgment. A copy of that proposed Second Amended Judgment is attached to the Agreement as Exhibit A.
- 2. As set forth in the Agreement, the Court's Amended Judgment entered January 22, 2019 should be further amended by entry of the attached proposed Second Amended Judgment to include Plaintiffs' recovery of their costs on appeal.
- 3. The Parties jointly request that the Court enter the attached proposed Second Amended Judgment, and retain jurisdiction of the action for the limited purpose of ensuring enforcement of its terms as specified therein.

Dated: April 21, 2021

BUCHALTER, APC

Steven G. Churchwell Attorneys for Defenant

Dated: April 20, 2021 Respectfully submitted,

GOLDSTEIN, BORGEN, DARDARIAN & HO

1 and I

Morris J. Baller, Of Counsel

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Dated: April <u>20</u>, 2021

LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT RUBIN

myn!

Robert Rubin

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT 1

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT & RELEASE

This Settlement Agreement & Release ("Agreement") is made and entered into in Santa Clara County, California, on April 21, 2021, by and between LADONNA YUMORI-KAKU, WESLEY KAZUO MUKOYAMA, UMAR KAMAL, MICHAEL KAKU and HERMINO HERNANDO (collectively, the "Plaintiffs"), on the one hand, and the CITY OF SANTA CLARA ("City"), on the other hand (all collectively, the "PARTIES").

RECITALS

WHEREAS, on December 5, 2017, the Plaintiffs filed a Complaint against the City in the Santa Clara County Superior Court (the "Complaint");

WHEREAS, on December 27, 2017, the Plaintiffs filed an Amended Complaint against the City in the Santa Clara County Superior Court (the "Amended Complaint");

WHEREAS, the Amended Complaint alleged, among other things, that the City's method of electing Councilmembers violated the California Voting Rights Act ("CVRA");

WHEREAS, the Liability Phase of the trial was held between April 23, 2018, and April 26, 2018, and the Superior Court, Hon. Thomas E. Kuhnle, presiding, issued a decision dated June 6, 2018, finding the City's election system in violation of the CVRA;

WHEREAS, the Remedies Phase of the trial was held between July 18, 2018, and July 20, 2018, after which Judgment was entered on July 24, 2018 in favor of the Plaintiffs;

WHEREAS, the Judgment enjoined the City and the Registrar of Voters from holding at-large elections for any City Council members, other than the position of Mayor;

WHEREAS, the Court retained jurisdiction over the parties and the action pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6;

WHEREAS, the City filed a Notice of Appeal on August 15, 2018;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs moved for attorneys' fees and costs for trial;

WHEREAS, Defendant then filed a Motion to Tax Costs;

WHEREAS, following briefing and a hearing, the Superior Court issued an Amended Judgment on January 22, 2019, awarding \$3,164,955.61 in attorneys' fees and \$174,549.90 in costs to Plaintiffs;

WHEREAS, the City filed a notice of appeal from the Amended Judgment on February 27, 2019;

WHEREAS, following briefing and oral argument the Court of Appeal rendered its decision on December 30, 2020, affirming the Amended Judgment of the Superior Court, and the Remittitur issued on March 2, 2021;

WHEREAS, the City paid to Plaintiffs the sum of \$3,830,090.21 on February 12, 2021, in full satisfaction of the attorneys' fees and costs awarded to them in the First Amended Judgment entered on January 22, 2019, as well as interest accrued thereon in the amount of \$490,584.70;

WHEREAS, the Parties acknowledge that the 2018 and 2020 City Council elections were conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Judgment.

WHEREAS, the PARTIES' claims, denials, and disagreements arising from, described in, or related to, the various legal actions described above and based on actions by the City up to and including the date of this Agreement and facts related to such actions, shall be referred to as the "Dispute";

WHEREAS, the Parties intend by this Settlement Agreement to resolve any and all of Plaintiffs' claims, demands, losses, damages, costs, attorney's fees, actions, causes of action, and liabilities of whatever kind and nature, whether known or unknown, suspected or claimed, investigated or not investigated, which arise from the Dispute (except for disputes arising from performance under this Agreement, as set forth below) in order to preclude any potential future litigation by Plaintiffs, and each of them, as against City, based on actions of the City at any time up to and including the date of this Agreement, relating in any respect to the claims and defenses in and regarding the Dispute. All terms of this Agreement are to be construed so that their meaning will effectuate this intent;

WHEREAS, this Agreement is being entered into after arms-length negotiations by and between the Parties, in good faith and in order to avoid in the burden and expense of continuing litigation, the costs associated with litigation, and the desire of the Parties, to reasonably settle this

Litigation, as between themselves, on the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreement. All of the negotiations related to this Agreement shall be subject to Evidence Code Section 1152;

WHEREAS, the Parties jointly desire and request that the Santa Clara Superior Court shall enter the Second Amended Judgment attached as Exhibit A hereto; and

WHEREAS, the Parties acknowledge that this Agreement itself is and shall be a public record subject to public review and inspection pursuant to California law.

THEREFORE, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, and in consideration of the mutual covenants contained herein, the PARTIES agree as follows:

ARTICLE I

1.1 Settlement Agreement & Release Void if Second Amended Judgment Not Entered. If for any reason the Second Amended Judgment shall not be submitted to and entered by the Superior Court this Settlement Agreement & Release shall be null and void, and the Parties returned to their respective positions with respect to the Dispute as of the date of this Agreement.

ARTICLE II

2.1 Payment. Within five calendar days of the Court's issuance of the Second Amended Judgment, CITY shall pay the amounts remaining to be paid on the Second Amended Judgment (which the Parties agree shall be \$712,500.00) to the Plaintiffs, payable to the firm of Goldstein, Borgen, Dardarian & Ho as Plaintiffs' designated representative for receipt of such payment. The Plaintiffs shall provide the CITY with an executed IRS Form W9 prior to the expiration of the five calendar day period to facilitate tax reporting of any reportable amount. The CITY shall not be obligated to make any payment until it has received a properly completed and signed W9 as provided herein. Payment of \$712,500.00 shall be considered full, total, and complete settlement of all claims including, but not limited to, legal fees, costs, expenses, and damage claims arising from or related to the Complaint, Dispute, and this Agreement. The Plaintiffs expressly acknowledge and agree that any tax arising from CITY's payment of any sums paid pursuant to this paragraph or previously paid by the City to Plaintiffs in satisfaction of the First Amended Judgment shall be the full responsibility of the Plaintiffs.

2.2 Satisfaction of Judgment. Within five calendar days of receiving payment in the amount of \$712,500.00 described in section 2.1 above, Plaintiffs shall file an Acknowledgement of Satisfaction of Judgment in Full.

ARTICLE III

- 3.1 On or before June 1, 2021, Defendant, by its City Council, shall enact a resolution calling an election to seek voter approval of an amendment to Defendant's City Charter. Such proposed amendment shall require the election of all City Council members, except the Mayor, from single-member districts with a requirement that candidates reside within their respective districts in accordance with the Judgment. The election system included in the proposed Charter Amendment shall in all respects comply with applicable governing provisions of State law. In the event that the State or County enact changes to the election procedures originally contemplated by the resolution adopted by City, City shall enact such resolutions or other legislation to place the Charter Amendment on the ballot in time such that it will be in effect for the November 2022 elections.
- 3.2 The election system included in the proposed Charter Amendment shall not shorten the terms of any previously-elected Members of the City Council.
- 3.3 The resolution calling for a vote on the proposed Charter amendment shall provide, at a minimum, for the following in the submission, presentation, and conduct of the election on the proposed Charter Amendment:
- a. Two or more City Council Members who support adoption of the proposed Charter Amendment shall be authorized to, and shall, jointly file an official ballot Argument in favor of the proposed Charter Amendment measure. The City Council Members authorized to file such ballot Argument, or at least two other City Council Members authorized to do so by the City Council, shall also jointly file a Rebuttal Argument to any Argument against the proposed Charter Amendment that may be filed. Neither the City Council, nor any of its Members, shall file a ballot Argument in opposition to the proposed Charter Amendment measure in their official capacity.
- b. The ballot Argument in favor of the proposed Charter Amendment shall contain informational language describing the City's previous at-large election system and the circumstances

and reasons relating to its having been held in violation of the California Voting Rights Act by this Court's Amended Judgment entered January 22, 2019; and shall describe the reasons for which the voters might wish to permanently adopt a by-district election system of the kind ordered by the Court in that Amended Judgment and used in the 2018 and 2020 City Council elections.

3.4 Should the voters not approve the proposed Charter Amendment presented to them, or should no such amendment be presented for approval in an election, for any reason, the City will not oppose any injunctive relief under the court's retained jurisdiction sought by plaintiffs to require the election of all City Council members, except the Mayor, from at least six single-member districts with a requirement that candidates reside within their respective districts.

ARTICLE IV

- 4.1 Except for claims arising directly as a result of a breach of the contractual obligations set forth in this Agreement, and as a material inducement to the CITY to enter into this Agreement, the Plaintiffs, and each of them, on behalf of themselves and their beneficiaries, heirs, agents, assigns, and successors-in-interest, do hereby irrevocably and unconditionally release, acquit and forever discharge the CITY, and its officials, council members, members, directors, officers, agents, assigns, attorneys, insurers, representatives, and employees from any and all claims, actions, charges, complaints, causes of action, rights, demands, and damages, at law and equity, which the Plaintiffs have or could have, whether now or in the future known, against CITY, which arise from, or are directly or indirectly related to, or are connected with, or caused by, actions of the City that existed in the past or exist at the time of this Agreement which are the subject matter of the Dispute.
- 4.2 The Plaintiffs acknowledge and agree that they will not sue or initiate against the CITY any action or proceeding pertaining in any manner whatsoever to the claims released by the Plaintiffs in the preceding paragraph. The Plaintiffs agree that they shall not seek, demand, or request in any manner any additional compensation or payment arising from or in connection with the Dispute and/or this Agreement other than and/or in addition to the payments made by the City under this Agreement, except that, as provided for in the following paragraph Plaintiffs reserve the right to, and may, seek

additional compensation in the form of litigation costs including attorneys' fees in connection with any proceeding to enforce the provisions of this Agreement or the Second Amended Judgment.

4.3 For the purpose of implementing full and complete releases to the extent stated herein, Plaintiffs expressly acknowledge that the releases provided in this Agreement are intended to include in their effect, without limitation, any and all claims, complaints, charges or suits within the scope of such releases, including those claims, complaints, charges or suits which they do not know or suspect to exist in their favor at the time of execution hereof, which if known or suspected, could materially affect Plaintiffs' decision to execute this Agreement. This Agreement contemplates the extinguishment of any such claims, complaints, charges or suits within the scope of the stated releases and therefore all rights under Section 1542 of the California Civil Code are hereby expressly waived. Section 1542 of the Civil Code provides:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY."

Plaintiffs, and each of them, represent that they have read and understood the provisions of California Civil Code Section 1542. Further, each acknowledges that he/she is represented by counsel and has been specifically advised by its counsel of the consequences of the above waiver, as well as with respect to this Agreement generally, and hereby assumes full responsibility for any damage, loss or liability which the undersigned hereinafter incurs by reason of such waiver.

4.4 The CITY, on behalf of itself, its elected officials, employees and agents, insurers, representatives and assigns, and their successors in interest, does hereby irrevocably and unconditionally release, acquit and forever discharge the Plaintiffs, individually and collectively, and their attorneys or the attorneys' employers, insurers, agents, and assigns, from any and all claims, actions, charges, complaints, causes of action, rights, demands, and damages, at law and equity, which the CITY has or could have, whether now or in the future known, against the Plaintiffs, which arise from, or are directly or indirectly related to, or are connected with, or caused by, actions of the

Plaintiffs that existed in the past or exist at the time of this Agreement which are the subject matter of the Dispute.

4.5 Plaintiffs may bring an action to compel enforcement of the provisions of this Agreement.

ARTICLE V

- 5.1 Recitals. The aforementioned Recitals are incorporated into this Agreement.
- 5.2 <u>Investigation</u>. Each of the PARTIES has made such investigation of the facts pertaining to the Complaint, Dispute, and this Agreement as it deems necessary. The PARTIES hereto understand that if any fact with respect to any matter covered by this Agreement and/or the Dispute is found hereafter to be other than, or different from, the facts now believed by the PARTIES to be true, each party hereto expressly accepts and assumes the risk of such possible difference in facts and agree that this Agreement shall become and remain effective notwithstanding such different facts.
- 5.3 <u>Integration Clause</u>. This Agreement contains the entire agreement of the PARTIES with respect to the subject matter of this Agreement and supersedes any and all prior, written or oral, agreements among them concerning the subject matter of this Agreement. There are no representations, agreements, arrangements or understandings, oral or written, among the PARTIES, relating to the subject matter of this Agreement that are not fully expressed herein. This is a fully integrated document.
- 5.4 Other and Further Documents. The PARTIES, and each of them, shall take such actions and shall execute, deliver and file or record any such document as may be reasonable or necessary to effectuate the purposes and contents of this Agreement.
- 5.5 <u>Consultation with Counsel</u>. The PARTIES represent and warrant that they have presented their respective counsel with this Agreement, that their respective counsel has had the opportunity to review this Agreement and that they are executing this Agreement of their own free will after having received advice from their respective counsel regarding the execution of this Agreement.
- 5.6 <u>Choice of Law, Jurisdiction and Venue</u>. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with California law. Any action or proceeding arising under this Agreement shall be brought exclusively in the Santa Clara County Superior Court.

- 5.7 No Waiver. The failure of any party to insist upon compliance with any of the provisions of this Agreement or the waiver thereof, in any instance, shall not be construed as a general waiver or relinquishment by such party of any other provision of this Agreement.
- 5.8 <u>Amendment</u>. This Agreement may not be amended except by an instrument in writing, executed by the PARTIES, or the authorized representatives of each of them.
- 5.9 Agreement Obligates, Extends and Inures. The provisions of this Agreement shall be binding upon each of the PARTIES and others to the extent stated herein. The provisions of this Agreement shall be binding upon those who may succeed to, or assume, the capacities of the PARTIES and such others subsequent to the execution and effective date of this Agreement.
- 5.10 No Reliance. Each of the PARTIES represents and warrants that, except for the representations and warranties specifically set forth in this Agreement, in executing this Agreement, it does not rely, and has not relied, on any representation or statement made by any other Party to this Agreement, or any representation or statement made by any person acting or purporting to act on behalf of any other Party to this Agreement.
- 5.11 No Assignment. Each of the PARTIES represents and warrants that it owns the claims released hereby; that no other person or entity has any interest in such claims; that it has not sold, assigned, conveyed or otherwise transferred any such claim, or any other claim or demand against any person released hereby; and, that it has the sole right to settle and release such claims. The undersigned represent and warrant that to the best of their information and belief, they have no knowledge of any claims held by one against the other that are not released hereby.
- 5.12 No Pending Action. The PARTIES represent and warrant that, other than the Actions previously brought in this Dispute, they have not filed any presently pending claim, complaint, charge or suit against any other party or any other party's predecessors, subsidiaries, affiliates, members, directors, officers, shareholders, trustees, partners, successors, agents, assigns, joint venturers, attorneys, insurers, representatives, employees, heirs and executors, with any federal, state or other agency, court, board, office or other forum or entity, without limitation.
- 5.13 <u>Multiple Counterparts</u>. This Agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts that shall become effective to the same extent as the original only when every party has signed and

delivered a signed counter-part. For purposes of the execution of this Agreement, signature pages transmitted by facsimile or electronic mail shall be given the same weight and effect as, and treated as, original signatures.

- 5.14 <u>Authority</u>. The undersigned natural persons executing this Agreement warrant and represent that they are duly authorized to do so and to bind the person or entity for which they sign.
- 5.15 <u>Construction</u>. Each Party hereto has cooperated in the drafting and preparation of this Agreement. In any construction to be made of this Agreement, the same shall not be construed against any Party on the ground that said Party drafted this Agreement. This Agreement shall be deemed to have been executed and delivered within the State of California, and the rights and obligations of the PARTIES hereunder shall be construed and enforced in accordance with, and governed by, the laws of the State of California, in effect as of the date hereof.
- 5.16 No Third Party Beneficiary. The terms and provisions of this Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the PARTIES, and their respective successors and assigns, and is made solely and specifically for their benefit. No other person shall have any rights, interest or claims hereunder or be entitled to any benefits under or on account of this Agreement as a third-party beneficiary or otherwise.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the PARTIES hereto have executed this Agreement on the dates written below.

DocuSigned by:

READ CAREFULLY BEFORE SIGNING:

FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:

Dated: April _29 2021	Ladofffa Vilfhori Kaku, Plaintiff
Dated: April, 2021	Wesley Kazuo Mukoyama, Plaintiff
Dated: April <u>20</u> , 2021	Umar zamal Unitati 18931193418. Plaintiff
Dated: April <u>20</u> , 2021	Michael Kaku Michael Kaku Michael Kaku

delivered a signed counter-part. For purposes of the execution of this Agreement, signature pages transmitted by facsimile or electronic mail shall be given the same weight and effect as, and treated as, original signatures.

- 5.14 <u>Authority</u>. The undersigned natural persons executing this Agreement warrant and represent that they are duly authorized to do so and to bind the person or entity for which they sign.
- 5.15 Construction. Each Party hereto has cooperated in the drafting and preparation of this Agreement. In any construction to be made of this Agreement, the same shall not be construed against any Party on the ground that said Party drafted this Agreement. This Agreement shall be deemed to have been executed and delivered within the State of California, and the rights and obligations of the PARTIES hereunder shall be construed and enforced in accordance with, and governed by, the laws of the State of California, in effect as of the date hereof.
- 5.16 No Third Party Beneficiary. The terms and provisions of this Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the PARTIES, and their respective successors and assigns, and is made solely and specifically for their benefit. No other person shall have any rights, interest or claims hereunder or be entitled to any benefits under or on account of this Agreement as a third-party beneficiary or otherwise.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the PARTIES hereto have executed this Agreement on the dates written below.

READ CAREFULLY BEFORE SIGNING:

FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:

Dated: April _____, 2021

Dated: April _____, 2021

Dated: April _____, 2021

Dated: April ____, 2021

Umar Kamal, Plaintiff

Dated: April ____, 2021

Michael Kaku, Plaintiff

1	Dated: April <u>20</u> , 2021	Hermino Hernando Herpprofisorerando, Plaintiff
3	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
4	Dated: April, 2021	GOLDSTEIN, BORGEN, DARDARIAN & HO
5		
6		Morris J. Baller, Of Counsel
7		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
8	Dated: April, 2021	LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT RUBIN
9		Robert Rubin
10		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
11		,
12	FOR THE DEFENDANT CITY:	
13	Dated: April <u>20</u> , 2021	MDA -
14 15		Brian Doyle City Attorney
16	Dated: April <u>20</u> , 2021	Dearna Jacke
17		Deanna J. Santana City Manager
18	ADDROVED AS TO FORM.	City Manager
19	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
20	Dated: April, 2021	BUCHALTER, APC
21	;	
22		Steven G. Churchwell
23		Attorneys for Defendant
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	Dated: April, 2021	Herminio Hernando, Plaintiff
2		nerminio nernando, Flamum
3	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
4	Dated: April <u>20</u> , 2021	GOLDSTEIN, BORGEN, DARDARIAN & HO
5		Manis J. Balle
6		Morris J. Baller, Of Counsel
7		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
8	Dated: April <u>20</u> , 2021	LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT RUBIN
9		Ruffeli
10		Robert Řubin
11		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
12	FOR THE DEFENDANT CITY:	
13	Dated: April, 2021	
14		Brian Doyle
15		City Attorney
16	Dated: April, 2021	
17		Deanna J. Santana City Manager
18		City Manager
19	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
20	Dated: April 21, 2021	BUCHALTER, APC
21	<	Str Charell
22	,	Steven G. Churchwell
23		Attorneys for Defendant
24		
25		
26		

Steven G. Churchwell schurchwell@buchalter.com BUCHALTER, APC 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1900 Sacramento, CA 95814 Tel: (916) 945-5168 Fax: (916) 945-5170

Filing fee Exempt Per Gov. Code § 6103

Attorneys for Defendant City of Santa Clara

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

LADONNA YUMORI KAKU, WESLEY KAZUO MUKOYAMA, UMAR KAMAL, MICHAEL KAKU, and HERMINIO HERNANDO,

Plaintiffs.

vs.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CITY OF SANTA CLARA; and DOES 1 to 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 17-CV-319862

EXHIBIT A

[PROPOSED] SECOND AMENDED JUDGMENT

Assigned to: Department 5 Hon. Patricia M. Lucas

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that a Second Amended Judgment shall be entered for Plaintiffs Ladonna Yumori Kaku, Wesley Kazuo Mukoyama, Umar Kamal, Michael Kaku, and Herminio Hernando and against Defendant the City of Santa Clara ("CITY"), incorporating the first Amended Judgment issued by this Court on January 22, 2019, and in accordance with the decision of the Sixth District Court of Appeal issued on December 30, 2020, as follows:

1. Plaintiffs shall be entitled to recover from the CITY attorneys' fees of \$3,164,955.61 and costs of \$174,549.90, the amounts previously awarded by this court in its First Amended Judgment, plus \$490,584.70 in accrued interest on such amounts. Plaintiffs shall in addition be entitled to recover from the CITY costs including attorneys' fees for the appeal and subsequent proceedings in this case in the amount of \$712,500.00. Upon payment of those amounts, Defendant

shall be deemed to have fully satisfied their obligations for Plaintiffs' recoveries as provided for in the Parties' Settlement Agreement & Release ("Agreement"), which is attached to their Stipulation for entry of this Second Amended Judgment.

2. In accordance with the first Amended Judgment, the Court shall retain jurisdiction over the parties and this action, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6. However, the parties may stipulate to dismissal of the action, or Defendant may move for such dismissal for good cause shown if and when the Defendant City's future use of a by-district election system as provided for in the parties' Agreement is assured by adoption of a Charter Amendment.

Dated: April ___, 2021

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT