

**CITY OF SANTA CLARA**  
**SINGLE AUDIT REPORT**  
**FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2025**

This Page Left intentionally Blank

**CITY OF SANTA CLARA**  
**SINGLE AUDIT REPORT**  
**For the Year Ended June 30, 2025**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.....	1
Section I – Summary of Auditor’s Results .....	1
Section II – Financial Statement Findings .....	2
Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs.....	2
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.....	4
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards .....	7
Independent Auditor’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> .....	9
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance .....	11

This Page Left intentionally Blank

**CITY OF SANTA CLARA**

**SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
For the Year Ended June 30, 2025**

**SECTION I – SUMMARY OF AUDITOR’S RESULTS**

**Financial Statements**

Type of report the auditor issued on whether the financial statements audited were prepared in accordance with GAAP Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weakness(es) identified?  X  Yes   No
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified?   Yes  X  None Reported

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?   Yes  X  No

**Federal Awards**

Internal control over major federal programs:

- Material weakness(es) identified?   Yes  X  No
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified?   Yes  X  None Reported

Type of auditor’s report issued on compliance for major federal programs: Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)?   Yes  X  No

Identification of major program(s):

<b>Assistance Listing Number(s)</b>	<b>Name of Federal Program or Cluster</b>
<u>16.710</u>	<u>Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants (COPS)</u>
<u>97.083</u>	<u>Staffing for Adequate Fire and Emergency Response Grant (SAFER)</u>

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: \$750,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?  X  Yes   No

## **SECTION II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS**

Our audit disclosed a material weakness, but no significant deficiencies or instances of noncompliance material to the basic financial statements. We have also issued a separate Memorandum on Internal Control dated December 2, 2025 which is an integral part of our audits and should be read in conjunction with this report.

## **SECTION III – FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS**

Our audit did not disclose any findings or questioned costs required to be reported in accordance with Uniform Guidance.

This Page Left intentionally Blank

CITY OF SANTA CLARA

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS  
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2025

Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal Assistance Listing Number	Pass-Through Identifying Number	Pass-Through To Subrecipients	Federal Expenditures
<b>U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Direct Programs:</b>				
CDBG – Entitlement Grants Cluster Entitlements				
Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants Program Expenditures	14.218		\$1,529,530	\$2,796,349
Home Investment Partnerships Program	14.239		520,548	538,735
<b>Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development</b>			<u>2,050,078</u>	<u>3,335,084</u>
<b>U.S. Department of Justice Direct Programs:</b>				
Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants	16.710			963,000
Equitable Sharing Program	16.922			211,696
<b>Total U.S. Department of Justice</b>				<u>1,174,696</u>
<b>U.S. Department of Transportation:</b>				
<b>Direct Program:</b>				
Safe Streets and Roads for All	20.939			314,384
<b>Pass-Through Program From:</b>				
California Department of Transportation				
Highway Planning and Construction (Federal-Aid Highway Program)				
Bridge Preventative Maintenance Program	20.205	BPMP-5019(039)		765
Community Project Funding	20.205	CPFL-5019(037)		330,557
Highway Infrastructure Program	20.205	CPFL-5019(038)		386,921
Program Subtotal				<u>718,243</u>
State of California Office of Traffic Safety				
Highway Safety Cluster				
State and Community Highway Safety				
Pedestrian and Bicycle Safety Program	20.600	PS24040		9,628
Pedestrian and Bicycle Safety Program	20.600	PS25020		56,628
Pedestrian and Bicycle Safety Program	20.600	PS25021		50,912
Selective Traffic Enforcement Program (STEP)	20.600	PS25069 402PS-25		17,899
Cluster Subtotal				<u>135,067</u>
Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated				
Selective Traffic Enforcement Program (STEP)	20.608	PS25069 164AL-25		12,496
<b>Total U.S. Department of Transportation</b>				<u>1,180,190</u>
<b>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Pass-Through Programs From:</b>				
City of Palo Alto				
Geographic Programs - San Francisco Bay Water Quality Improvement Fund	66.126	98T20101		167,751

(Continued)

CITY OF SANTA CLARA

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS  
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2025

Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal Assistance Listing Number	Pass-Through Identifying Number	Pass-Through To Subrecipients	Federal Expenditures
<b>U.S. Department of Homeland Security:</b>				
<b>Direct Program:</b>				
Federal Emergency Management Agency Assistance to Firefighters Grant	97.044			81,221
<b>Pass-Through Program From:</b>				
International Association of Fire Chiefs Assistant to Firefighters Grant	97.044	EMW-2019-FF-00450		2,398
Program Subtotal				<u>83,619</u>
<b>Direct Program:</b>				
Staffing for Adequate Fire and Emergency Response Grant (SAFER)	97.083			<u>4,721,166</u>
<b>Pass-Through Programs From:</b>				
California Task Force 3 Urban Search and Rescue National Urban Search and Rescue (US&R) Response System	97.025	CA-TF3		<u>219,743</u>
California Governor's Office of Emergency Services Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)	97.036	FEMA-4482-DR-CA		<u>477,061</u>
County of Santa Clara Office of Emergency Services Emergency Management Performance Grants	97.042	EMF-2023-EP00006		<u>47,079</u>
City and County of San Francisco Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP) Bay Area Urban Areas Security Initiative Grant	97.067	NPP		31,500
County of Santa Clara Office of Emergency Services Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP)	97.067	SHSGP		<u>50,000</u>
Program Subtotal				<u>81,500</u>
<b>Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security</b>				<u>5,630,168</u>
<b>Total Expenditures of Federal Awards</b>			<u>\$2,050,078</u>	<u>\$11,487,889</u>

See Accompanying Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

This Page Left intentionally Blank

**CITY OF SANTA CLARA**

**NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS  
For the Year Ended June 30, 2025**

**NOTE 1 – REPORTING ENTITY**

The Schedule of Expenditure of Federal Awards (the Schedule) includes expenditures of federal awards for the City of Santa Clara, California, and its component units as disclosed in the notes to the Basic Financial Statements, except for federal awards of the Santa Clara Stadium Authority (Stadium Authority). Federal awards expended by the Stadium Authority, if any, are excluded from the Schedule and are subject to a separate Single Audit performed by other auditors.

**NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

Basis of accounting refers to *when* revenues and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements, regardless of the measurement focus applied. The governmental fund financial statements are reported using the *current financial resources measurement focus* and the *modified accrual basis of accounting*. The proprietary and fiduciary fund financial statements are reported using the *economic resources measurement focus* and the *accrual basis of accounting*. Expenditures of Federal Awards reported on the Schedule are recognized when incurred.

**NOTE 3 – INDIRECT COST ELECTION**

The City has elected not to use the 10% (October 2023 Revision) or the 15% (April 2024 Revision) de minimis indirect cost rates allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

**NOTE 4 – PRIOR YEAR EXPENDITURES**

The Department of Homeland Security - Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters) (AL# 97.036) Program requires that expenditures under the program be reported on the Schedule when (1) the Federal Emergency Management Agency’s (FEMA) has approved the City’s project, and (2) the City has incurred the eligible expenditures. FEMA’s approval of a subaward is indicated when FEMA obligates the federal share of the eligible project cost. The City incurred expenditures related to the COVID-19 pandemic in fiscal years 2020 and 2021 that were not obligated by FEMA until fiscal year 2025. The current year Schedule includes expenditures from the prior fiscal years in the amount of \$477,061.

This Page Left intentionally Blank

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT ON  
INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING  
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN  
AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE  
WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS***

To the Honorable Members of the City Council  
City of Santa Clara, California

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Santa Clara as of and for the year ended June 30, 2025, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City’s basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 2, 2025.

Our report includes a reference to other auditors who audited the financial statements of City of Santa Clara Stadium Authority for the year ended March 31, 2025 and a reference to other auditors who audited the financial statements the Northern California Power Agency, Transmission Agency of Northern California, M-S-R Energy Authority (MSR EA), M-S-R Public Power Agency (MSR PPA), and San Jose-Santa Clara Regional Wastewater Facility and Clean Water Financing Authority as of and for the year ended June 30, 2024, related to the calculation of the Investments in Joint Ventures, as described in our report on the City’s financial statements. This report includes our consideration of the results of the other auditors’ testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those other auditors. However, this report, insofar as it relates to the results of the other auditors, is based solely on the reports of the other auditors. Our report also included emphasis of a matter paragraphs disclosing the City’s implementation of a new accounting principle and a change in accounting policy, and a restatement related to unearned revenue in the Santa Clara Stadium Authority Enterprise Fund.

***Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting***

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of City’s internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. The other auditors identified certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses as listed on the Schedule of Material Weaknesses included as part of our separately issued Memorandum on Internal Control dated December 2, 2025, which is an integral part of our audit and should be read in conjunction with this report.

### ***Report on Compliance and Other Matters***

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### **City's Response to Findings**

*Government Auditing Standards* requires the auditor to perform limited procedures on the City's response to the findings identified in our audit and described in our separately issued Memorandum on Internal Control dated December 2, 2025, which is an integral part of our audit and should be read in conjunction with this report. The City's response was not subjected to the other auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

### ***Purpose of this Report***

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.



Pleasant Hill, California  
December 2, 2025

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT  
ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM  
AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE;  
AND REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS  
REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council  
City of Santa Clara, California

**Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program**

***Opinion on Each Major Federal Program***

We have audited City of Santa Clara's compliance with the types of compliance requirements identified as subject to audit in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2025. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

The City of Santa Clara's basic financial statements include the operations of the Santa Clara Stadium Authority (Stadium Authority), which is not included in the Schedule during the year ended June 30, 2025. Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of the Stadium Authority, because the Stadium Authority engaged other auditors.

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2025.

***Basis for Opinion on Each Major Federal Program***

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the City and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

***Responsibilities of Management for Compliance***

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the City's federal programs.

### ***Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance***

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material, if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the City's compliance with the requirements of each major federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the City's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the City's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

*A deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

### **Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance**

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining funds information of the City as of and for the year ended June 30, 2025, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated December 2, 2025, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our report includes a reference to other auditors who audited the financial statements of the City of Santa Clara Stadium Authority for the year ended March 31, 2025 and a reference to other auditors who audited the financial statements the Northern California Power Agency, Transmission Agency of Northern California, M-S-R Energy Authority (MSR EA), M-S-R Public Power Agency (MSR PPA) and San Jose-Santa Clara Regional Wastewater Facility and Clean Water Financing Authority as of and for the year ended June 30, 2024, related to the calculation of the Investments in Joint Ventures, as described in our report on the City's financial statements. Our report, in so far as it relates to the results of the other auditors, is based solely on the report of the other auditors. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated, in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.



Pleasant Hill, California  
March 5, 2026

This Page Left intentionally Blank