

Planning Commission on 2022-08-03 6:00 PM

Meeting Time: 08-03-22 18:00


eComments Report

Meetings	Meeting Time	Agenda Items	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
Planning Commission on 2022-08-03 6:00 PM	08-03-22 18:00	28	69	4	65	0

Sentiments for All Meetings

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment



Planning Commission on 2022-08-03 6:00 PM


08-03-22 18:00

Agenda Name	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that, pursuant to the provisions of California Government Code §54956 ("The Brown Act") and Section 708 of the Santa Clara City Charter, the undersigned calls for a Special Meeting of the Planning Commission of the City of Santa Clara, to commence and convene on August 3, 2022, at 6:00 pm for a Special Meeting in the City Hall Council Chambers located in the East Wing of City Hall at 1500 Warburton Avenue, Santa Clara, California, to consider the following matter(s) and to potentially take action with respect to them.	1	0	1	0
<p>The City of Santa Clara will be conducting Planning Commission meetings in a hybrid manner (in-person and virtual attendance). Pursuant to Government Code Section 54953(e) and City of Santa Clara Resolution 22-9087, Commissioners may teleconference from remote locations. The City continues to provide methods for the public to participate remotely:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Via Zoom: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o https://santaclaraca.zoom.us/j/91729202898 Webinar ID: 917 2920 2898 or o Phone: 1(669) 900-6833 • Via the City's eComment (available during the meeting) <p>The public may view the meetings on SantaClaraCA.gov, Santa Clara City Television (Comcast cable channel 15 or AT&T U-verse channel 99), or the livestream on the City's YouTube channel or Facebook page.</p> <p>Public Comments prior to meeting may be submitted via email to PlanningPublicComment@SantaClaraCA.gov no later than noon on the day of the meeting; and also before and during the meeting via eComment. Clearly indicate the project address, meeting body, and meeting date in the email.</p>	1	0	1	0
PUBLIC HEARING	1	1	0	0
2. 22-930 Action on Mitigated Negative Declaration and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, General Plan Amendment and Rezone for the Property Located at 1601 Civic Center Drive	64	1	63	0
REPORTS OF COMMISSION/BOARD LIAISON AND COMMITTEE:	1	1	0	0
ADJOURNMENT:	1	1	0	0

Sentiments for All Agenda Items


The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment



Agenda Item: eComments for NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that, pursuant to the provisions of California Government Code §54956 ("The Brown Act") and Section 708 of the Santa Clara City Charter, the undersigned calls for a Special Meeting of the Planning Commission of the City of Santa Clara, to commence and convene on August 3, 2022, at 6:00 pm for a Special Meeting in the City Hall Council Chambers located in the East Wing of City Hall at 1500 Warburton Avenue, Santa Clara, California, to consider the following matter(s) and to potentially take action with respect to them.

Overall Sentiment



Joy Shen

Location:

Submitted At: 3:41pm 08-04-22

Strongly Oppose!

First, Highly concentrated affordable housing does not align with the City's planning vision - City's planning guidelines do not encourage low income housing to be concentrated in one place.

Second, strongly oppose because of project will house and bring more homeless tenants in this area. Over the past 20 months, I have observed homeless posing threats and danger to communities. This situation need to be addressed as confidence check to people here before any uncertainties!!

Agenda Item: eComments for The City of Santa Clara will be conducting Planning Commission meetings in a hybrid manner (in-person and virtual attendance). Pursuant to Government Code Section 54953(e) and City of Santa Clara Resolution 22-9087, Commissioners may teleconference from remote locations. The City continues to provide methods for the public to participate remotely:

- Via Zoom:

- o <https://santaclaraca.zoom.us/j/91729202898>

Webinar ID: 917 2920 2898 or


- o Phone: 1(669) 900-6833

- Via the City's eComment (available during the meeting)

The public may view the meetings on SantaClaraCA.gov, Santa Clara City Television (Comcast cable channel 15 or AT&T U-verse channel 99), or the livestream on the City's YouTube channel or Facebook page.

Public Comments prior to meeting may be submitted via email to PlanningPublicComment@SantaClaraCA.gov no later than noon on the day of the meeting; and also before and during the meeting via eComment. Clearly indicate the project address, meeting body, and meeting date in the email.

Overall Sentiment



Joy Shen

Location:

Submitted At: 3:42pm 08-04-22


Strongly Oppose!

First, Highly concentrated affordable housing does not align with the City's planning vision - City's planning guidelines do not encourage low income housing to be concentrated in one place.

Second, strongly oppose because of project will house and bring more homeless tenants in this area. Over the past 20 months, I have observed homeless posing threats and danger to communities. This situation need to be addressed as confidence check to people here before any uncertainties!!

Agenda Item: eComments for PUBLIC HEARING

Overall Sentiment



Kathryn Hedges


Location:

Submitted At: 9:00pm 08-03-22

I am NOT A PAID SHILL! I take time to support affordable housing because we need more housing. I don't live in Santa Clara, but I visit to shop at ECR & Scott. I have friends in Santa Clara. I am tired of people saying people in affordable housing are dangerous criminals. I can't even afford to live there SSDI. Hearing how much people in Santa Clara hate people like me makes me afraid to visit--are they going to bash my windshield or something? Chase me with torches and pitchforks?

Agenda Item: eComments for 2. 22-930 Action on Mitigated Negative Declaration and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, General Plan Amendment and Rezone for the Property Located at 1601 Civic Center Drive

Overall Sentiment



Joy Shen

Location:

Submitted At: 3:39pm 08-04-22

Strongly Oppose!

First, Highly concentrated affordable housing does not align with the City's planning vision - City's planning

guidelines do not encourage low income housing to be concentrated in one place.

Second, strongly oppose because of project will house and bring more homeless tenants in this area. Over the past 20 months, I have observed homeless posing threats and danger to communities. This situation need to be addressed as confidence check to people here before any uncertainties!!

Lily Wong

Location:

Submitted At: 6:31am 08-04-22

Strongly oppose. Parking is already an issue and people are parking illegally on corners, creating safety issues for traffic and pedestrians.

More and more high density housing is being built in an already dense area, creating congestion and more impersonalization. More high density housing is causing Santa Clara to lose some of its charm, which is unfortunate to existing residents and makes it less attractive for future residents.

Christine Fu

Location:

Submitted At: 10:41pm 08-03-22

Road side not enough parking, so one parking per unit plus guests parking per unit. Safety road between on civic center dr and Lincoln St support have right light .Support more green environments.

Kathryn Hedges

Location:

Submitted At: 9:01pm 08-03-22

I am NOT A PAID SHILL! I take time to support affordable housing because we need more housing. I don't live in Santa Clara, but I visit to shop at ECR & Scott. I have friends in Santa Clara. I am tired of people saying people in affordable housing are dangerous criminals. I can't even afford to live there SSDI. Hearing how much people in Santa Clara hate people like me makes me afraid to visit--are they going to bash my windshield or something? Chase me with torches and pitchforks?

Faisal Safdar

Location:

Submitted At: 8:00pm 08-03-22

Strongly oppose this project as we want healthy and safe environment in this neighborhood.

Shirley Deng

Location:

Submitted At: 8:00pm 08-03-22

Strongly oppose. As residents who live closed to 1601 Civic Center Dr, we just want a safe and quiet neighborhood. If residents pay a lot of tax while having a worse and worse living environment, why do they wanna stay here? Santa Clara will lose resident's support if our voice kept being treated as trivial.

Lydia Mi

Location:

Submitted At: 7:51pm 08-03-22

VEHEMENTLY OPPOSE the Charities Housing proposal! Our neighborhood already has experienced the negative, progressively worsening impacts of dense housing, traffic and parking congestion, and petty crime. Allowing the Charities Housing high rise, ultra low income project to proceed would only exacerbate the problems that our neighborhood is already facing. Please listen to the voices of the residents of this neighborhood in our opposition to this project.

Angela Hou

Location:

Submitted At: 7:47pm 08-03-22

Opposed. We already have issues with parking congestion and petty crime. Adding a high density apartment building would overload existing infrastructure like roads which were designed for our low/medium density

neighborhood.

Ryan Zhu

Location:

Submitted At: 7:12pm 08-03-22

Strongly oppose it, we need a safe environment to raise our children and a place where kids can hang out with each other.

Ayush Chopra

Location:

Submitted At: 6:58pm 08-03-22

The neighborhood residents do not want this development. The existing infrastructure (parking, parks, general lifestyle walks, playground) are already under pressure. Who's is this being forced on existing residents?

Wei Zheng

Location:

Submitted At: 6:47pm 08-03-22

Strongly oppose it, we have so many young families in this neighborhood, we need a safe environment to raise our children and a place where kids can hang out with each other.

Lisa Huang

Location:

Submitted At: 6:42pm 08-03-22

Oppose

Danxue Huang

Location:

Submitted At: 6:37pm 08-03-22

Hi, I would strongly oppose this project due to the overflow parking and security concern.

James Kim

Location:

Submitted At: 6:36pm 08-03-22

VEHEMENTLY OPPOSE the Charities Housing proposal! Our neighborhood already has experienced the negative, progressively worsening impacts of dense housing, traffic and parking congestion, and petty crime. Allowing the Charities Housing high rise, ultra low income project to proceed would only exacerbate the problems that our neighborhood is already facing. Please listen to the voices of the residents of this neighborhood in our opposition to this project.

Anna Gao

Location:

Submitted At: 6:31pm 08-03-22

Horrible traffic issue, with serious construction pollution to residents' health and mental condition impact, this will also cause permanent shades without any sunlight 18 hours a day for the living residents.

Strongly oppose

Flora Kho

Location:

Submitted At: 6:26pm 08-03-22

I strongly oppose this project plan. A high-density apartment should not be built in a high-density community. This will raise a lot of issues, such as parking, community safety, and traffic. Please listen to the voice of the neighbor. Thank you!

Ye Cao

Location:

Submitted At: 6:25pm 08-03-22

The construction plan will cause potential cancer risk and noise pollutions during the development. And also it's a 5 stories building while the rest of neighborhoods are at most 2 stories tall. This building will heavily increase the parking difficulty and hide sunlight for nearby neighbors. So I strongly oppose this proposal.

Xinyu Cao

Location:

Submitted At: 6:22pm 08-03-22

Strongly opposed! This neighborhood already brings safety concerns because of the high density in this area. It's not suitable to have another high density development, which can bring more out of control safety issues.

Charlotte Wang

Location:

Submitted At: 6:19pm 08-03-22

I oppose this for the following reasons:

1. The limited parking on the street and crowded neighborhood.
2. Safety. It is just not responsible to say build houses without agreement from residents.
3. It is already a low income area. Why we are bring more?

This will harm the whole community so much that everyone ends with escaping or living with devastation.

Zhou Yu

Location:

Submitted At: 6:17pm 08-03-22

parking space in the neighborhood has already been a problem. Security would also be a big concern here

Phil Kliza

Location:

Submitted At: 6:11pm 08-03-22

2. Can this site be rezoned to allow for a minimum-security prison, mental institution, or halfway house? If not, why not?

3. What has the PC discovered regarding other CH projects (good and bad)?

- a. to what extent did the PC investigate other CH projects?
- b. what were the findings of the PC's investigation (provide all reports)?

7. What are the steps that neighbors can take to stop this project?

Keyhan Sinai

Location:

Submitted At: 6:10pm 08-03-22

The end doesn't justify the means. Wall-to-wall neighbor of 1601 CCD homeless encampment 13 mos 4-20/4-21. CH fence after RV dump toilet PD Rprt # 21-041662. CH refused to identify itself/ engage. Went behind our backs to influence City. Claims no police reports! Refused to move all entry lanes to Lincoln Street & lower height from 5 to 2/3. Not enough parking, SCPD has no bandwidth to address problems. Cost falls on SC. Flawed shadow study. 90dB noise intolerable. Toxic fumes not mitigated.

Reshma Kotwal

Location:

Submitted At: 5:57pm 08-03-22

I oppose this project for multiple reasons:

- existing parking congestion will get worse 3 fold.
- health hazards of having such a high density construction within few feet of single family homes with children, adults, and seniors residing in such close proximity.
- current weak "school and security infrastructure" will crumble with this high density housing added to the already struggling system.

Cynthia Stryko

Location:

Submitted At: 5:56pm 08-03-22

A high density development surrounded by L/M density residentials is too harsh of a transition and risks overloading existing infrastructure like roads, takes away value of the existing planning such as promoting the commercial use of live-work areas in the Catalina community and the commercial spaces at Monroe place, and negatively affect existing market priced homes. It would be much better to have a tall building near other tall buildings, and focus on increasing property value in this area.

Sneha Shah

Location:

Submitted At: 5:56pm 08-03-22

The builder hasn't convinced the neighbors of several concerns raised and this propert should not be rezoned if the development is not intended for the use.

Please make a garden for the neighborhood to be family friendly and peaceful. The proposed project is the exact opposite - noisy, congested and degrade the neighborhood.

Chuang Qian

Location:

Submitted At: 5:50pm 08-03-22

The project is trans-generational poverty segregation!! Low-income families should not be accumulated in a poverty hole. The public elementary school serving this area has 77% low-income students, way higher than the average of the city of Santa Clara, proves that this is already a low income area. This project is going to worsen local school quality, and create another generation of low-income families due to poor education. This is trans-generational poverty segregation!!

Ganyu Lian

Location:

Submitted At: 5:46pm 08-03-22

The builder, Charities Housing doesn't value the current residents' opinion in its building plan, including but not limited to building height/ shade issue, parking entrance control, noise/dust upon construction. I have no faith in the company according to previous meetups and online records regarding different properties they built in the past. Honestly, I am not convinced they will treasure and protect the neighborhood around 1601 Civic Center Drive.

Yu Huang

Location:

Submitted At: 5:26pm 08-03-22

I against this development. I am a single woman living here. Reason I bought the house was because it is a quiet neighborhood and mostly owner occupied. I would really feel unsafe at night if there were a huge spike of renters moving in. Plus my home is by the street, with increase of traffic lights at night, and more people walking/talking by the street, it really makes me worry and feel lots of anxiety.

B V

Location:

Submitted At: 5:14pm 08-03-22

I strongly oppose the proposal for the 1601 civic center construction plan. It adds significant parking issue, will eventually pull down the school rating, make this place unlivable for the existing residents, and unsafe for the kids to step outside alone and play safely with the expected increase in traffic in and out of the newly proposed construction, in addition to the stress that it might put on the nearby neighbors like me to see such a beautiful place become a congested one in future.

Eric Lau

Location:

Submitted At: 4:40pm 08-03-22

I oppose this project not because I'm against development but because I'm against having a bad neighbor.

Charities Housing had the opportunity to reach out to us early on to see how we can work together to properly integrate this project into the neighborhood. If CH was cooperative, we wouldn't be sitting here today opposing their designs. It's still not too late for CH to make changes to accommodate our requests unless they feel it's no longer charity when it hits their own pocket books.

Eric Lee

Location:

Submitted At: 4:18pm 08-03-22

This neighborhood already has some significant impacts to parking due to the existing high-density housing complexes that already exist, plus the new addition at 1900 Warburton nearby. With the addition of another 100+ housing units and insufficient on-site parking, this problem is only going to get worse for existing residents. I strongly oppose this proposal.

Margarita Bliznikova

Location:

Submitted At: 3:15pm 08-03-22

I live nearby and I'm extremely opposing development project on 1601 Civic Center Drive. It will create disproportional traffic, make the existing problem with limited parking spaces here only worse. The infrastructure is not supposed for such high-density residential project. Also it will lead to higher risk for pedestrians. Neighborhood is not suitable for high-density development. It will add more noise, traffic, make neighborhood more crowded and unsafe, put too high load on infrastructure.

Disha Shetty

Location:

Submitted At: 3:11pm 08-03-22

I strongly oppose the proposed construction plan at 1601 Civic Centre Dr. by CH. It's going to have devastating effects on this quite dense neighborhood. Adding such a high-density housing is going to create resource scarcity for the residents living here mainly with parking issues, school intake, crime/theft, noise etc. Please consider moving this to a more favorable area. We need more breathing space in this already congested area and would be very thankful if a park can be considered instead.

C F

Location:

Submitted At: 3:07pm 08-03-22

STRONGLY OPPOSE! Community is waiting for answers from CH but no follow ups.

VENEE CRUZ

Location:

Submitted At: 2:23pm 08-03-22

STRONG OPPOSE! This location is not suitable for high density 5 stories. Our neighborhood is already crowded and impacted by blight and petty crimes. Developer didn't listen to any of the community concerns. We will not accept the driveway on CCD, it will be prone to high risks of accidents. This development will have huge negative impact on existing communities and will impact our safety and security. I will no longer feel safe walking in my community. Please do your due diligence and save us.

John Galt

Location:

Submitted At: 2:13pm 08-03-22

This is what happens when we have elected "leaders," namely Mayor Lisa Gillmor, who has special interests and works with/has ties with development companies. Sooner, rather than later, this is going to be the same case when Great America is gone; especially now since Gillmor has her hand-picked "officials" sitting in Planning Commission doing work for her. They choose development over our communities to enrich themselves. We need new leaders without special interests.

Deepa Hotchandani

Location:

Submitted At: 1:46pm 08-03-22

STRONGLY OPPOSE! We already feel unsafe. Our neighborhood is experiencing blight, noise, petty crime, shortage of parking and a decline in the quality of life. City should consider other suitable sites for ultra-dense housing, and give our needs as Citizens priority over developers. The idea of creating affordable housing in one spot will create pockets of poverty, increased crime, drug dealing, etc. The best way to create affordable housing is to spread it out throughout the entire communities.

In Seok Hwang

Location:

Submitted At: 1:30pm 08-03-22

PLEASE NO MORE HEARING !!! STRONG OPPOSE !!!

I am also afraid of huge negative impact on existing communities. The planning area is already fully congested and no parking. There are new high density building construction across the El. Camino Real. Another monster 4-5 story building next to existing residential single family homes/townhouse community is not desirable. Our region is already crowded !!. Please consider other locations with old shopping mall with lesser population density.

Morteza Shafiei

Location:

Submitted At: 12:01pm 08-03-22

Opposing rezoning this lot to an ultra-high-density development. As a long-term resident of this neighborhood, the proposed development plan will adversely impact our safety and security. The proposed plan ignores many aspects of our neighborhood fabric in exchange for the developer to be able to build the unit as the lowest cost and higher profit to them.

Olga Valerevna

Location:

Submitted At: 12:00pm 08-03-22

I strongly oppose the development on 1601 civic center. There is already a huge problem with lack of parking for several blocks in surrounding area. This only adds to the problem since the complex will not have assigned parking for many units, just street parking. I combination with the poorly designed entrance/exit to the property & 90 degree turn this is tremendously increasing the risk for pedestrians to be hit by cars. This doesn't even factor delivery vehicles. It is against city plan also

Sunil Bhagwani

Location:

Submitted At: 11:41am 08-03-22

CH/developer has wasted community's time during outreach meetings - they have said NO to all the changes community requested, including reduction in num of units/building height, providing more parking and removal of Driveway on Civic center drive. And instead of acting on feedback, they just tell us it won't happen, so why did they even have meetings? To tick a Checkbox on some form? Our city planners should reject the proposal right away for the organization that is full of deceit. Thank You.

Vishal SL

Location:

Submitted At: 11:37am 08-03-22

STRONGLY OPPOSE! DO NOT PROCEED!

This will have a negative impact on existing communities - the area is already congested and no parking. Having 4-5 story building next to existing residential single family homes is not desirable. Please consider your neighbors and move this to a different spot which is more favorable.

Yifan Jiang

Location:

Submitted At: 11:25am 08-03-22

OPPOSE!

Strongly oppose the proposed charity housing project at 1601Civic Centre Dr.

Our neighborhood cannot bear more noise, pollution, crowdedness, bad public security. Adding a charity housing project simply endangers our living condition, and makes our community unsustainable.

Brent Strysko

Location:

Submitted At: 10:02am 08-03-22

(i) Per County of Santa Clara

(<https://osh.sccgov.org/sites/g/files/exjcpb671/files/RRH%20Operations%20Manual%202021.pdf>) rapid re-housing programs cannot exclude participants based on history of substance abuse or criminal history decreasing safety in the neighborhood.

(ii) Insufficient parking

(iii) Commercial -> Residential detracts utility of existing Catalina live/work units

Suggest land be used commercially or mixed-use (with some units being for low income).

Orit Skorka

Location:

Submitted At: 11:11pm 08-02-22

Parking is already a problem in our area. Addition of dozens of apartments with less than one parking spot per apartment will worsen the problem. The driveway entrance at Civic Center Drive is a safety concern for pedestrians and traffic.

dwarkanath sakpal

Location:

Submitted At: 11:09pm 08-02-22

Strongly and vehemently oppose the proposed project at 1601 Civic Centre Dr. undertaken by CH . 106 units with 4- 5 levels will add to traffic congestion and parking . Alternative it can be carried out on another site with more open space and less density.

Kevin Lim

Location:

Submitted At: 10:54pm 08-02-22

Limited and insufficient public parking space in the neighborhood. Traffic congestion.

William Huang

Location:

Submitted At: 9:43pm 08-02-22

The development proposal for 1601 Civic Center Dr does not provide enough parking spaces and is sure to cause overflow parking onto neighboring streets. The developer claimed in previous outreach meetings that the density of the building and the height is within what is allowed under the current plan, but that is misleading because currently the area is zoned for commercial, and commercial buildings are almost empty in the evening and do not contribute to parking problems.

Min Yang

Location:

Submitted At: 9:39pm 08-02-22

The planner doesn't really consider the neighborhood.

Xinyu Liang

Location:

Submitted At: 9:22pm 08-02-22

OPPOSE!

I'm living in the neighbor of 1601 Civic Center drive. This plan Can only make this area unsafe and crowded.

Right now, this area is already full theft, littering and homeless. I can't imagine another low income housing will be planned here.

Guoli Yin

Location:

Submitted At: 9:06pm 08-02-22

This area is too dense to have a crowded housing project. The community is in severe lack of parking lots. This project doesn't take current residents into consideration for their living conditions!

L Li

Location:

Submitted At: 8:48pm 08-02-22

Hi, I'm a neighbor near 1601 Civic Center Drive. We chose to buy a home here because we trust the community near the Santa Clara city hall. However, the living experience for the past year is kinda opposite to our expectation. We often hear someone yelling in the street, people smoking weed, random people walking into our garage and say bad things to our camera. We feel unsafe everyday and we don't dare to walk outside our home. Please consider reducing the population density for this community.

Biyao Zhao

Location:

Submitted At: 8:47pm 08-02-22

Oppose!

The current plan didn't address how to block access to the current neighborhood, no solution to the limited parking issue on the street and it adds crime and noise to the community.

Siqi Bai

Location:

Submitted At: 6:40pm 08-02-22

The current plan is not clear on many items, for example: underground parking, sun shine blockage, safety, entrance, etc.

Xuehui Zhu

Location:

Submitted At: 6:38pm 08-02-22

Oppose!

The proposed project will definitely bring

1. Noise, traffic, insufficient parking
2. Unsafety, massive crime in neighborhoods

Thien Thai

Location:

Submitted At: 5:45pm 08-02-22

High density housing in this already crowded neighborhood will lead to insufficient street parking and more petty theft along with increased traffic leading to accidents and injury.

Congyao Tang

Location:

Submitted At: 4:08pm 08-02-22

1. I looked up the reviews of this "Charities Housing" company, and it's notorious for creating a mess of crime and low life quality for its tenants and neighbors.
2. In the documents "Charities Housing" provided, it falsely claims that the shadow the new 5 levels building will cast is no more than the old 2 levels building, which is proved wrong by few neighbors.

Atul Madhusudan

Location:

Submitted At: 3:59pm 08-02-22

The proposed high density housing at 1601 Civic Centre Dr could lead to the following issues

1. Insufficient Street parking - Withe proposed parking spaces not being sufficient to accommodate the residents, it could overflow onto the street and there is limited parking spots already. It could also impact parking for visitors

to the city hall

2. High Traffic Area leading to congestion and noise.

Asif Hoda

Location:

Submitted At: 12:51pm 08-02-22

The proposed building is not desirable because of the following reasons:

1. With the new high density housing projects already being built, this parcel of land needs to be converted to parks as nearest park now is atleast 10 mins of drive and we want our children to have access to a healthy environment to live in.

Please help by converting this parcel into a recreational park to help us raise our children in a healthy and safe neighborhood.

Liz Lemmer

Location:

Submitted At: 12:26pm 08-02-22

The proposed project will change the neighborhood dramatically. People will have to compete for street parking every day. Increased traffic will pose a huge risk to pedestrians. The site shares a wall with the single family houses in Triton Ct. The noise and dust during construction will cause big troubles to the neighbors, even potential cancer risks. This is a quiet neighborhood. We need more parks instead of more people and cars.

MARIA ESPINOSA

Location:

Submitted At: 7:32pm 08-01-22

To rezone this land in 1601 CCD will change the character of the neighborhood, the traffic, safety risks, noise, and as well as reduction in property values. Retail commercial land use is more appropriate. Easy access to businesses, will attract neighbors walking by, a job opportunities, and a very deserving atmosphere. No one wants to accept future destruction, a decline to a peaceful living, and orderly community.

Jean Song

Location:

Submitted At: 6:41pm 08-01-22

1601 Civic Center Dr is a bad location for the project.

1) Only 82 parking spaces are planned for 108 units. 50% of the units will be 2-bedrooms and 3-bedrooms. The street parking of the neighborhood is already overcrowded. The neighborhood is not able to provide enough parking space for everyone.

2) The number of the houses that will be in the shadows will be doubled by the proposed 5-story building in all seasons.

3) Only 2 bus routes nearby. No access to light rail or Caltrains.

Fung Yi

Location:

Submitted At: 2:29pm 08-01-22

The site is the only planned commercial use serving multiple HOAs outside of ECR corridor and pedestrian friendly. This land is perfect for cafes, restaurants, shipping, tutoring or child care services - those are what we need within walking and can create jobs. ECR and Scott are not safe to cross as cars drive fast. The City will exceed 2031 RHNA with mega projects like Patrick Henry. We should keep the land commercial as-is to allow suitable businesses to flourish and serve the community.

Simon Yegh

Location:


Submitted At: 11:02am 08-01-22

The development does not have enough parking. Street parking is over capacity already, & increased traffic, a huge public safety risk to pedestrians. It is not near much public transit, and the schools have already exhausted

their resources. This goes against the city plan for the neighborhood, will have a detrimental impact & is poorly thought out with how it will fit in the neighborhood. This specific spot should have another type of project in line with the city plan/zoning.

Agenda Item: eComments for REPORTS OF COMMISSION/BOARD LIAISON AND COMMITTEE:

Overall Sentiment




Kathryn Hedges

Location:
Submitted At: 9:05pm 08-03-22

I am NOT A PAID SHILL! I take time to support affordable housing because we need more housing. I don't live in Santa Clara, but I visit to shop at ECR & Scott. I have friends in Santa Clara. I am tired of people saying people in affordable housing are dangerous criminals. I can't even afford to live there SSDI. Hearing how much people in Santa Clara hate people like me makes me afraid to visit--are they going to bash my windshield or something? Chase me with torches and pitchforks?

Agenda Item: eComments for ADJOURNMENT:

Overall Sentiment



Kathryn Hedges

Location:
Submitted At: 9:06pm 08-03-22

I am NOT A PAID SHILL! I take time to support affordable housing because we need more housing. I don't live in Santa Clara, but I visit to shop at ECR & Scott. I have friends in Santa Clara. I am tired of people saying people in affordable housing are dangerous criminals. I can't even afford to live there SSDI. Hearing how much people in Santa Clara hate people like me makes me afraid to visit--are they going to bash my windshield or something? Chase me with torches and pitchforks?