# Derivation Report on the 2018 Small Cell Attachment Rate 

## Summary

The purpose of this report is to document the process and assumptions used to derive the pole attachment rate for the small cell attachment that is proposed to be effective in 2018. The small cell attachment rate, expressed in dollars per attachment per year, is $\$ 81.12$.

The proposed rate for small cell attachments to City owned electric wooden utility poles reflects the requirements of Assembly Bill (AB) 1027, which was signed by the Governor of California on October 8, 2011. AB 1027 requires that the pole attachment rate be based on Silicon Valley Power's (SVP) annual cost of ownership, which is the sum of capital costs and annual operation costs of the pole or support structure used for pole attachments. Annual capital costs must be based on SVP's net investment in equipment (capital costs) necessary for use by a communication service provider. Further, "the basis for the computation of annual capital costs shall be historical capital less depreciation" and "depreciation shall be based upon the average service life of the utility pole or support structure."

AB 1027 also requires the annual cost of ownership be allocated to communications service providers based on the assumption (subject to factual rebuttal) that there is 13.5 feet of usable space on an average electric wooden utility pole and that a third party attachment occupies 1 foot of that 13.5 feet, resulting in the share cost of ownership of $7.4 \%$. Based on the same cost study used to develop rates for cable attachments, adopted by Council on January 12, 2016 (Resolution No.16-8285), staff performed further analysis to determine the appropriate cost allocation to support the small cell attachment to electric wooden utility poles. Since the required clearance requirement for a small cell attachment is 4 feet of space on an electric wooden utility pole, instead of 1 foot for cable attachments, it was determined that the appropriate share of available space is $29.6 \%$ for a small cell attachment. Riser attachment space is also required for the power supply to the small cell attachment, and the share of available space for a riser attachment is $20 \%$, as adopted by Resolution No. 16-8285.
Therefore, the share of ownership for a small cell attachment is $49.6 \%$ and the allocated cost of ownership is $\$ 81.12$ per attachment per year. Table 1 - Pole Attachment Cost Analysis for Small Cell Attachments further depicts the calculations to achieve that rate. Table 2 Derivation of Usable Space Allocation, Table 3 - Derivation of O\&M and A\&G Expenses as Related to Pole Attachments, and Table 4 - Derivation of the Historical Net Cost of Poles from the 2015 cost study are also attached as for convenient reference.

The small cell attachment rate will be subject to the same $2.5 \%$ annual escalator adopted for cable attachment rates, as well as the adopted schedule for an updated cost study to be conducted no later than 2020.

## Cost of Ownership

The cost of ownership components considered in the 2015 study were:

- SVP's net depreciated investment in poles and fixtures, expressed in dollars, divided by the number of poles in use;
- SVP's cost of long-term debt;
- SVP's operations and maintenance expenses that contribute to the availability and reliability of space used for communications attachments; and
- Expenses related to SVP's revenues from pole attachments.

SVP's net investment in poles and fixtures necessary for use by a communication service provider has been determined as follows. The number and age of SVP's poles were taken primarily from work by Osmose Utilities Services, Inc. (Osmose), a contractor whose primary task was to survey every SVP pole and to make recommendations for repair or replacement as appropriate. This work is performed on a 10-year cycle and is $100 \%$ pole related. Due to the accounting system not containing data on the installed cost of each pole, it was necessary to estimate the installed cost of the poles identified by Osmose. At the time of the study, SVP's current poles were installed between 1900 and 2015. A proxy installed cost for each year was determined by using the estimated installed cost (reduced by estimated salvage) for a replacement pole in 2015, and discounting that 2015 cost each year by each year's change in the Consumer Price Index. Depreciation rates were based on a 40-year service life through 1995, 37 years for 1996 and 1997, and 25 years thereafter. The primary reason for the reduction in estimated service life is the change of wood preservative.

SVP's cost of capital is estimated at $5 \%$. SVP has financed its distribution system from various connection fees and from customer service revenues, so there is no outstanding debt related to its distribution system. SVP has routinely used $5 \%$ as a proxy for the opportunity cost of money, whether in the form of long-term debt or in the form of the long-term earning potential of cash reserves.

Operations and maintenance (O\&M) expenses related to poles and pole attachments are estimated as follows. SVP uses a combination of City accounts and the FERC Uniform System of Accounts for both capital and operating expenses. This means that overhead O\&M expenses are available from FERC accounts 583 and 593. Amounts in these accounts were augmented by allocating a prorated portion of total distribution supervision and Administration and General (A\&G) expenses (FERC account 580 and accounts 921-927). These expenses, as augmented, were then allocated to reflect the estimated proportion of pole related expenses (10\%) as a percentage of total distribution expenses. This allocation was based on the judgment of distribution management personnel.

In addition, certain expenses are directly allocated. These include Osmose's pole inspection and repair expenses completed by Osmose and tree trimming. Tree trimming is critical to maintain clearance for both overhead electrical wires and the communications cables and other equipment attached to SVP's poles.
Table 1 - Pole Attachment Cost Analysis for Small Cell Attachments
Line No. Cost of Ownership 2015
Cost Study
2 Depreciation Exp - \% (Recovery of Capital) ..... \$
486.71 ..... 4.0\%
3 Cost of Money ..... 5.0\%
4 O\&M + A\&G Expenses - \% (from Table 3) ..... 21.4\%
5 Cost of Ownership - \% (Total I. 2 through I.4) ..... 30.44\%
6 Cost of Ownership - \$ (I.5 * I.1) ..... \$ ..... 148.17
6a Escalation Factor - 2016 to 2018 ..... \$
155.67
$7 \quad$ Adjusted for Contribution in Lieu of Taxes ..... 5.0\% \$
7.41 \$ ..... 7.78
8 Adusted total Cost of Ownership (1.6b + I.7) ..... \$ ..... 155.58 \$ ..... 163.45
1.050625
6b Adjusted Cost of Ownership (I.6 * I.6a) le 4)

3pital)

I.4)

+ I.7)
9 Cost of Ownership \% (from Table 2) ..... 49.6\%
10 Allocated Cost of Ownership ..... \$ ..... 81.12

1 Cost (net of salvage value) (from Table 4)

## Table 2 - Derivation of Usable Space Allocation

## Line No.

1 AB1027 "Available space" feet
2 Additional space occupied by riser ..... feet
3 Total Available Space ..... feet
4 Attachment Space (1) ..... feet
5 Attachment space as \% of total available space(1) Small Cell Attachment Space is determined by 4' of safety requirement.Riser Attachment Space shown in I. 4 is based on 20\% assumption in I. 5
Small Cell
Attachment
6 AB1027 1 foot of usable space ..... \% ..... 7.4\%
$7 \quad$ Safety Requirement (from secondary line) feet ..... 4.00
8 Small Cell as \% of total available space ..... \% ..... 29.6\%
9 Riser Attachment as \% of total available space ..... \%
10 Total Attachment space as \% of total available space ..... \%
Table 3 - Derivation of O\&M and A\&G Expenses as Related to Pole Attachments
Line No. Derivation of Allocated O\&M + A\&G Expenses
FY14-15 Recorded
1 Overhead Operating Expense (including Supervisor and A\&G) ..... 579,296.06 ..... \$
2 Overhead Maintenance Expense (including Supervisor and A\&G) ..... 2,463,847.29
3 Less: Osmose included in FERC Acct 593 ..... \$
4 Less: Tree Trimming included in FERC Acct 593 ..... \$
5 Total Overhead O\&M ..... \$858,324.81
6 Allocation as Pole-related - \% ..... 10\%
$7 \quad$ Allocation as Pole-related - \$ ..... \$ ..... 218,481.85
Derivation of Pole Attachment Related to Operating and Maintenance Expenses
8 Pole-related Overhead O\&M expense - other than contract services (from I.7) ..... $218,481.85$
Contract Services:
9 Direct allocation - Tree Trimming in FERC Acct 593 ..... 858,324.81
10 Direct allocation - Osmose pole inspection and repair cost ..... 105,207.20
11 Total O\&M ..... 1,182,013.87
12 Pole-related depreciated investment (from Table 4) ..... \$ ..... 5,512,519.52
13 O\&M as \% of pole-related depreciated investment - \% (l.11 / I.12) ..... 21.4\%


