

---

**File No.:** 18-0710

**Agenda Date:** 9/5/2018

**Item No.:** 2.1.

---

## BOARD AGENDA MEMORANDUM

### **SUBJECT:**

Overview of the District's Water Infrastructure, Capital Improvement Program, Flood Protection Projects, and Current/Future Water Supply Planning.

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the Santa Clara Valley Water District Board of Directors and Santa Clara City Council consider directing their respective staff to continue their commitment to meaningful engagement in pursuit of new and innovative partnership opportunities for the continued delivery of a safe, and reliable water supply, and flood protection, in Santa Clara County.

### **SUMMARY:**

This item describes various programs that the Santa Clara Valley Water District (District) provides in support and partnership with the City of Santa Clara (City) as they provide a safe and reliable water supply in the City.

### **Water Supply and Infrastructure Master Plan**

As the groundwater management agency and primary water resources agency for Santa Clara County (County), the District has a mission to provide safe, clean water for the County. In 2012, the Board adopted the Water Supply and Infrastructure Master Plan (Water Master Plan) which outlines the District's strategy for providing a reliable and sustainable future water supply for the County and ensuring new water supply investments are effective and efficient. The three key elements of the Water Master Plan strategy are 1) secure existing supplies and infrastructure, 2) optimize the use of existing supplies and infrastructure, and 3) expand water conservation and recycled water use to meet future increases in demands.

The District's Water Master Plan is intended to be updated every five years to adjust to changing conditions. Based on recent analyses, the County could experience shortages of more than thirty percent during extended droughts as demands increase. District staff is updating the Water Master Plan to reflect current and projected conditions and present projects and programs that meet the District's water supply reliability goal.

### **Water Supply Overview**

---

Currently, the County's water supply portfolio includes approximately 55 percent imported water sources, 40 percent local water sources (groundwater, surface water), and 5 percent recycled water. Long-term water use averages about 350,000 acre-feet per year (AFY), though use is currently down following the drought. Water use in the County would be more than 70,000 AFY higher if not for District, city, water retailers, and community commitments to water conservation. Water use efficiency programs reduce demand on existing water and energy supplies, helping to lessen the costs and environmental impacts of developing additional supplies. Conservation program elements include a variety of rebate programs for home, landscaping, and businesses as well as service calls and conservation tools. The District plans to increase water conservation contribution savings to 100,000 acre-feet per year by 2030.

To meet the future water needs and promote greater supply diversity, the District continues to explore additional water supply and water demand reduction options. Pursuing supply diversity helps minimize the potential risks of groundwater overdraft and subsidence, as well as overreliance on imported water supplies, which are used to recharge the groundwater basin and irrigate agriculture in Santa Clara County.

Projects being considered include additional water conservation, non-potable recycled water, potable reuse, surface and groundwater storage, stormwater capture, additional recharge ponds, dry year options, etc.

On September 19, 2017, as part of the Water Master Plan update, the District Board authorized staff to begin planning for implementation of the projects and programs in the Water Master Plan's "No Regrets" package. The package, which increases the conservation savings goal to 110,000 AFY by 2040, consists of the following water conservation and stormwater capture projects:

- Advanced metering infrastructure,
- Graywater rebate program expansion,
- Leak repair incentives,
- New Development Model Ordinance, and
- Stormwater capture (agricultural land recharge, stormwater recharge in the Cities of Santa Clara, San Jose and Saratoga, rain barrel rebates, and rain garden rebates).

The next steps in the Water Supply Master Plan Update include bringing a set of preferred projects to the District Board and developing a monitoring and contingency plan. Monitoring supply and demand conditions and reviewing the Water Supply Master Plan investment strategy is important for managing uncertainty, addressing changing regulations and policies, and ensuring that changes in project costs and benefits are considered.

## **Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan**

The update of the Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan (Bay Delta Plan) is one of the potential changes that will need to be considered in the context of the District's water supply investment strategy. The Bay Delta Plan, which the State Water Resources Control Board is currently updating,

establishes water quality objectives and an implementation program for achieving the objectives. The proposed changes include increasing flows in tributaries to the Delta as a means of improving conditions for aquatic species. The changes would reduce urban and agricultural water supplies. The first phase of proposed changes would affect the San Joaquin River, its tributaries, and the southern Delta. Most of the impacts to the Santa Clara County would be from decreases in San Francisco Public Utilities Commission supplies. The frequency of shortages could increase up to 15 percent and the magnitude of shortages could increase by up to almost 20 percent. The impacts from the second phase of changes, which would affect flows in the Sacramento River and its tributaries, as well as interior Delta flows and Delta outflows are unknown but likely more significant.

### **California WaterFix**

On May 8, 2018, the District Board took several actions related to the California WaterFix (WaterFix), including adopting Resolution 18-23 making Responsible Agency findings pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and Resolution 18-24, authorizing support of, and participation in, the WaterFix.

Santa Clara County relies on water imported through the Delta by the State Water Project (SWP) and Central Valley Project (CVP) for about 40% of its water supplies, on average. Imported water supplies are projected to decline over time in response to continued environmental degradation in the Delta, climate change and sea level rise, and increased regulatory constraints. Modeling indicates that if no action is taken to improve the existing Delta conveyance approach, the District's SWP and CVP deliveries to the County could drop by about 36,000 AFY. Reductions in these SWP/CVP supplies will have a significant impact on the ability of the District to provide reliable water supplies to our communities, businesses, and local streams, and make it more difficult for us to protect our local groundwater basins and prevent land surface subsidence in North County.

### ***WaterFix Benefits***

With participation in the WaterFix, modeling indicates this decline can be avoided by diversion of water during high flow periods. Total deliveries with the WaterFix would remain similar to current average levels. As reported to the Board on May 8, 2018, the primary benefits of the project are summarized in the table below.

<b>Benefit</b>	<b>Staff Analysis of WaterFix</b>
<b>Sustained water supplies</b>	Offsets supply reduction, improves groundwater storage conditions, increases reserves in the Semitropic Groundwater Bank, reduces the frequency and magnitude of water shortages.

<b>More fish-friendly diversions</b>	Equipped with state-of-the-art fish screens located away from important fish habitat; 52% of SWP/CVP exports, on average, will be through these more fish friendly diversions; diverts primarily during higher flow periods safer for fish.
<b>Reduced reverse river flows to protect fish</b>	Changes negative flow (-2,200 cfs on average) to more natural, positive flow (+50 cfs); reduces entrainment.
<b>Improved water quality</b>	20% decrease in average annual salinity of SWP/CVP exports; reduces salt loading to drinking water treatment plants and county groundwater basins.
<b>Resiliency during Delta failure events</b>	Continues water deliveries if Delta fails from earthquakes, sea level rise, and extreme flood events.
<b>Resiliency to climate change including sea level rise</b>	Diverts where salinity intrusion will be minimal under sea level rise scenarios; facilitates diversion during extreme storm events.
<b>Increased access to transfer supplies</b>	Conveys transfer water when existing system cannot; reduces water loss during transport.

### WaterFix Costs

SWP contractors are expected to pay 67% of project costs and receive 67% of the WaterFix incremental yield; the District would receive 2.5% of the SWP benefit share, corresponding to its share of SWP contract supply (i.e., "Table A" contract amount). Metropolitan Water District (MWD) is expected to finance the 33% share originally intended for the CVP contractors and, in return, receive an interest in 3,000 cubic feet per second (cfs) of capacity. The District may secure an interest in capacity to convey its CVP supplies through an agreement with MWD as well as a proportional share of WaterFix incremental yield through additional agreements with the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation). Staff has estimated that a capacity interest of 200 cfs, or 6.7% of the 3,000 cfs to be held by MWD for CVP contractors, would provide sufficient reliability to sustain the District's CVP supplies if modeling projections are realized.

Staff's analysis of costs indicates that the WaterFix remains one of the most cost-effective options available, with the District's share of capital costs (unfinanced) in 2017 dollars ranging from \$280 million if the District participates only on the SWP side, to \$650 million if the District participates on both the SWP and CVP sides of the project. The levelized unit cost of project participation is roughly \$600/AF (2017 dollars).

**Table 4. Summary of District costs**

	SWP-Side 2.5% share	SWP-CVP Combined
<b>Costs to Santa Clara County</b>		

<b>Percent of Total Project Costs</b>	1.7%	3.9%
<b>Total Capital Costs (2017 dollars)</b>	\$280 million	\$650 million
<b>Present Value (PV) fully financed Capital Cost (2017 dollars)</b>	\$230 million	\$535 million
<b>Total Annual O&amp;M (2017 dollars)</b>	\$1.1 million	\$2.5 million
<b>Cost per Acre-Foot (2017 dollars)</b>	\$610	\$600
<b>Rate Impacts (assuming all CWF costs are placed on water rates)</b>		
<b>Monthly Increase per Avg. Household (FY33) N. County</b>	\$4.96	\$10.26
<b>Monthly Increase per Avg. Household (FY33) S. County</b>	\$0.00	\$4.47

District staff continues to participate in WaterFix discussions to further develop the best and most responsible agreements and contract amendments to protect the District's investment and to bring those agreements to the Board for consideration prior to execution.

#### **City of Santa Clara's Participation in the District's Countywide Water Reuse Master Plan (CWRMP)**

The San José-Santa Clara Regional Wastewater Facility (RWF) is jointly owned by the cities of San José and Santa Clara. Recycled water produced at RWF is distributed by South Bay Water Recycling. Members of the District Board routinely meet with council members from San José and Santa Clara at the Joint Recycled Water Policy Advisory Committee to discuss matters of mutual interest.

Earlier this year, the District initiated the CWRMP to create a collaborative strategy to integrate and expand recycled and purified water as a local, reliable, environmentally adaptive, drought-resistant water supply and guide strategic investment of public funds over the next 20 years. This CWRMP will result in a comprehensive master plan to facilitate non-potable reuse (NPR) integration and expansion with potable reuse (PR) water development in Santa Clara County in collaboration with recycled water producers and through engagement of critical stakeholders. The Master Plan will also provide a framework to make collaborative decisions and implement integrated actions to increase water supply reliability throughout the region. City of Santa Clara is an active participant through the Stakeholder Task Force (TF). Next steps include additional meetings of the TF through 2019, and development of potential alternatives for expanding recycled and purified water in Santa Clara County.

#### **Pacheco Reservoir Expansion Project**

The District is proposing to develop up to a 140,000 acre-foot surface reservoir project by expanding the existing Pacheco Reservoir (Pacheco Reservoir Expansion Project), which is located on the North Fork Pacheco Creek in south-east Santa Clara County. Partners to this project include the District, San Benito County Water District (SBCWD) and Pacheco Pass Water District (PPWD), of which the latter owns and operates the existing 6,000 acre-foot Pacheco Reservoir. On June 26,

---

2018, the District Board approved an option agreement with PPWD that provides the District with an option to acquire fee ownership of the existing Pacheco Reservoir should the District decide to proceed with construction of the Pacheco Reservoir Expansion Project.

### *Pacheco Benefits*

Expansion of the existing Pacheco Reservoir will address several water supply, quality, and environmental issues. Specifically, the Pacheco Reservoir Expansion will:

- Improve the resiliency of imported CVP water supplied for recharge.
- Help alleviate taste and odor issues in treated water that typically result from the formation of algae in the San Luis Reservoir during the summer period.
- Mitigate supply interruptions that can occur in late summer/early fall due to lower San Luis Reservoir levels.
- Expand groundwater recharge for medium and high priority sub-basins which would ensure compliance with the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act
- Restore populations of the Federally threatened South Central California Coast Steelhead fish species.

### *Pacheco Funding*

On March 14, 2017, the District executed a Principles of Agreement with SBCWD and PPWD, which committed the parties to coordinate and support the District's preparation and submittal of an application for California Proposition 1 Water Storage Investment Program (WSIP) funding for the Pacheco Reservoir Expansion. This application was submitted by the District to the California Water Commission (CWC) on August 14, 2017, and requested funding for public benefits amounting to \$484.5 million, fifty percent of the estimated cost to construct the Pacheco Reservoir Expansion Project.

The CWC conditionally approved the District's full funding request of \$484.55 million on July 24, 2018, which included an Early Funding award of \$24.2 million. The Early Funding award was authorized by the CWC to reimburse the District for funds expended in the completion of the Environmental Documentation and Permitting for the Pacheco Reservoir Expansion Project. Staff is currently in discussions with the CWC regarding the structure and requirements of the agreement that must be executed to receive the Early Funding award. In addition, for the District to remain eligible to receive the full amount of WSIP funds that have been conditionally awarded (beyond the Early Funding award), a draft CEQA Environmental Impact Report must be issued for public review by December 2021.

The District is also pursuing additional project funding through the Federal Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation (WIIN) Act. Should the Pacheco Reservoir Expansion qualify, the WIIN Act has the potential to fund up to 25 percent of the total project costs that are not covered by state

investment through WSIP. The first step in the process to apply for WIIN Act funding is for the Governor of California to designate the Pacheco Reservoir Expansion as a “State-Led-Storage Project”. To this end, Chair Santos sent a letter to Governor Brown on July 2, 2018, officially requesting that the Pacheco Reservoir Expansion receive the required designation.

### **Anderson Dam Project Update**

The Anderson Dam Seismic Retrofit Project (Anderson Dam Retrofit Project) work is currently focused on design and environmental documentation. The 60% design plans were completed in April 2018 and are currently being reviewed by the state Division of Safety of Dams (DSOD) and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC).

The Anderson Dam Retrofit Project’s draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) is currently being prepared. In parallel, the District has initiated meetings with various environmental regulatory agencies (California Dept. of Fish & Wildlife; Regional Water Quality Control Board; Army Corps of Engineers; U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service; National Marine Fisheries Service; and others) to discuss the Anderson Dam Retrofit Project construction, the likely environmental impacts, and to determine what mitigation measures and permit conditions will be required by these agencies before the Anderson Dam Retrofit Project can be constructed. The draft EIR will be released for public review later this calendar year.

The Anderson Dam Retrofit Project’s seismic retrofit construction is anticipated to begin in 2020 or 2021. It is estimated to take 4 to 5 years to complete all the dam improvements.

Before the Anderson Dam Retrofit Project construction begins, the District’s Office of Emergency Services will establish communication protocols with appropriate personnel at the City of San Jose to share real-time flow diversion information and to provide them with warnings, as necessary, of higher-than-normal diversions in the event of heavy, back-to-back storm systems.

### **Infrastructure Overview**

The District operates a complex infrastructure and integrates natural and constructed systems to capture and convey raw and treated water. The District’s system can deliver about 300 million gallons of raw water and 200 million gallons of treated drinking water every day. The District’s distribution system includes 10 reservoirs, 3 pump stations, 142 miles of pipelines, 4 water treatment plants, 393 acres of recharge ponds, and 275 miles of jurisdictional streams.

The District plans to invest approximately \$2.1 Billion in its 5-year Capital Improvement Program (excluding the Pacheco Reservoir Expansion Project) to ensure the reliability of our water supply infrastructure. Some of the current/recent capital investments include:

- **10-Year Pipeline Inspection & Rehabilitation Program** - This Program involves the inspection, planning, design, and renewal of the District’s pipelines and tunnels to rehabilitate distressed pipe sections as required, and replace old valves, flow meters, pipeline appurtenances assemblies, and piping, as appropriate. The District recently completed rehabilitation and repair of the Almaden Valley Pipeline and the Pacheco Conduit. In the next two years, the

---

Program work will include the Cross Valley Pipeline, Calero Pipeline, and the Central Pipeline.

- Penitencia Force Main/Delivery Main Seismic Retrofit - This recently-completed project included the replacement of about 900 lineal feet of each of three pipelines - the 60-inch diameter Penitencia Force Main, 66-inch diameter Penitencia Delivery Main, and 72-inch diameter South Bay Aqueduct, currently conveying raw and treated water to and from the Penitencia Water Treatment Plant. This project has reduced the potential damage to and post-earthquake recovery time of the pipelines and the associated vault structures through the innovative use of earthquake-resistant ductile iron pipe.
- Anderson Dam Seismic Retrofit - The District is in the process of retrofitting four of its dams and associated infrastructure to meet current seismic design standards and other Division of Safety of Dam (DSOD) design and operational criteria. The current estimated investment for these four projects is \$780M. Anderson Dam is the largest of the District's dams, with a retrofit estimated cost of \$550M. Anderson Dam's project work will include excavation and reconstruction of its embankments; replacement of the intake structure and installation of two new outlet pipes; and replacement of the emergency spillway structure.

### **How Water Supply Services Are Funded**

The District is the groundwater management agency and primary wholesale water provider in the County. The District actively manages the groundwater basins by replenishing them with local and imported water, and by operating surface water treatment plants that provide "in-lieu" recharge. A complex system that includes 10 reservoirs, 142 miles of pipelines, 4 water treatment plants, and 3 pump stations, helps keep water flowing across the County.

The cost to operate and maintain this system is reimbursed primarily through groundwater charges and treated water charges paid by water retail customers. Groundwater charges differ depending on the "zone of benefit." The North County (Zone W-2) is defined as the portion of the County north of the Coyote Valley. The South County (Zone W-5) is defined as the portion of the County extending from Coyote Valley to Gilroy.

District Board Resolution 99-21 guides staff in the development of the overall pricing structure based on principles established in 1971. The general approach is to charge the recipients of the various benefits for the benefits received. More specifically, pricing is structured to manage surface water, groundwater supplies and recycled water conjunctively to ensure the sustainability of the Santa Clara Valley Groundwater Basin and Llagas Groundwater Subbasin.

Each year, the Board establishes groundwater production charges as well as surface water charges, recycled water charges, treated water surcharges, and the amount of the SWP cost to be recouped through the SWP Override tax. The groundwater charge increase for North County Zone W-2 for Fiscal Year 2018-19 equates to an increase of \$3.92 per month to the average household and is driven by critical infrastructure repair and replacement needs and efforts to bolster water supply reliability (this does not include any increase from the retail provider).

### **FINANCIAL IMPACT:**



There is no fiscal impact from this presentation.

**CEQA:**

The recommended action does not constitute a project under CEQA because it does not have a potential for resulting in direct or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment.

**ATTACHMENTS:**

Attachment 1: PowerPoint

**UNCLASSIFIED MANAGER:**

Nina Hawk, 408-630-2736