

City Clerk & City Auditor
REPORT

October 29, 2018

HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL Santa Clara, CA

RE: Response to City Council Inquiry on the Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce Political Action Committee (SCCCPAC) Campaign Disclosure Filings, 2010 through 2014

To Honorable Mayor and City Council:

The following responds to the City Council's inquiry and direction made at the October 9, 2018 City Council meeting.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to provide the City Clerk and City Auditor's analyses and findings of the SCCCPAC Fair Political Practices Commission (FPPC) Campaign Disclosure Forms from 2010 through 2014. A copy of this report will also be forwarded to the FPPC for its further review and determination of accuracy of jurisdictional filings, as well as potential fines and penalties.

BACKGROUND

At the October 9, 2018 City Council meeting, Vice Mayor Kathy Watanabe requested information about the accuracy of a local media article (*Santa Clara News*, Attachment 1) relative to the SCCCPAC's campaign disclosure filings with the Fair Political Practices Commission (FPPC). Staff was directed to report back whether the media article was correct regarding the absence of the SCCCPAC campaign disclosure filings with the City for the years 2010 through 2014.

The Santa Clara News article noted that although the SCCCPAC was an active organization that held political fundraisers and participated in support or opposition of local candidates and measures in the City of Santa Clara, the Office of the City Clerk appeared to not have any SCCCPAC campaign disclosure filings for those specific years. Shortly after the October 9 City Council inquiry, another local media outlet, Santa Clara Weekly/Silicon Valley Voice, published an article by Carolyn Schuk, that provided

HONORABLE MAYOR & CITY COUNCIL RE: Report and Findings – SCCCPAC Filings (2010 – 2014) October 29, 2018 Page 2 of 9

a response to the previous *Santa Clara News* article and City Council inquiry (Attachment 2).

Staff has reviewed both media articles, along with the SCCCPAC campaign disclosure filings on file with the County of Santa Clara.

DEFINITIONS

The FPPC establishes definitions for both a County General Purpose Committee and a City General Purpose Committee, (FPPC Regulations, Chapter 2, Section 18227.5 (c)(1)(2)). The FPPC also provides regulations regarding the burden of review and verification for which jurisdiction to file the General Purpose Committee disclosure forms (Chapter 2, Section 18227.5 (d)(1)). The FPPC regulation places the burden on the SCCCPAC organization to file with the appropriate agency and to perform due diligence on a frequency established by the FPPC.

The definitions are as follows:

- (1) City General Purpose Committee. A "city general purpose committee" is a committee that makes more than 70 percent of its contributions or expenditures to support or oppose candidates or measures voted on in only one city, or in one consolidated city and county, including contributions to city general purpose committees in the same city or the same consolidated city and county.
- (2) County General Purpose Committee. A "county general purpose committee" is a committee that makes more than 70 percent of its contributions or expenditures to support or oppose candidates or measures voted on in only one county, or in more than one jurisdiction within one county, including contributions to county general purpose committees in the same county.
- (3) Review. A general purpose committee shall verify its filing jurisdiction quarterly at the end of March, June, September and December. An existing general purpose committee that has not made contributions and/or expenditures of \$5,000 or more to support or oppose candidates or measures in the preceding quarterly time period is not required to review or change its status.

SCOPE OF REVIEW

The City Auditor reviewed the SCCCPAC campaign disclosure forms that had been filed with the County of Santa Clara for the years beginning 2010 through 2014, analyzing the following questions:

1. Did the SCCCPAC organize properly under Form 410: Statement of Organization of a committee with the County of Santa Clara, or should the SCCCPAC have

organized with the City of Santa Clara based on the percentage of contributions made to local candidates?

2. Has the SCCCPAC been filing campaign disclosure forms appropriately with the County of Santa Clara or should the SCCCPAC have been filing these disclosure forms with the City of Santa Clara?

The City Clerk provided guidance on FPPC timelines, requirements and potential violations. The City Attorney also reviewed this report.

FACTS

The review established the following facts:

- 1. SCCCPAC provided a copy of their FPPC Statement of Organization Form 410 to the City Clerk designating itself as a County General Purpose Committee Organization in April 2010.
- 2. On May 4, 2010, former City Clerk, Rod Diridon Jr., provided a letter to the SCCCPAC acknowledging that their local filing officer was the County Registrar of Voters and, appropriately, also noted that "in some instances you may be obligated by the Elections Code to file with the City Clerk's Office in the City of Santa Clara" (Attachment 3).
- 3. From 2010 to 2014, SCCCPAC, designating itself as a County General Purpose Organization, has been filing campaign disclosure forms with the County of Santa Clara rather than with the City of Santa Clara.
- 4. Since October 2014 through present, SCCCPAC has filed copies of the various required FPPC campaign disclosure forms and has maintained its designation as a County General Purpose Committee Organization, including:
 - o Form 410: Recipient Committee Organization Statement
 - Form 460: Recipient Committee Campaign Statement Expenditure and Contribution Report
 - Form 496: 24-Hour Independent Expenditure Report
 - Form 497: 24-Hour Contribution Report

CITY CLERK AND CITY AUDITOR FINDINGS AND POTENTIAL VIOLATIONS

Based on FPPC Regulations, FPPC definitions for County and City General Purpose Committees, and the above set of facts, each of the SCCCPAC campaign disclosure form filings were analyzed to determine whether contributions or expenditure activity HONORABLE MAYOR & CITY COUNCIL RE: Report and Findings – SCCCPAC Filings (2010 – 2014) October 29, 2018 Page 4 of 9

meet the definition of County General Purpose Committee or City General Purpose Committee.

FINDING 1 -

Year 2010 – SCCCPAC reported \$28,224 in expenditures for the year, which consists of \$1,224 for fundraising, professional fees, and office supplies. SCCCPAC expended \$27,000 in election contributions supporting three City of Santa Clara council candidates. The SCCCPAC's only contribution for the 2010 Election was to support three City of Santa Clara council candidates (\$27,000) which represents 100% of SCCCPAC's total contribution to candidates.

Potential Violation 1

The SCCCPAC's only contribution for the 2010 Election was to support three City of Santa Clara council candidates (\$27,000) which represents 100% of SCCCPAC's total contribution to candidates. At that time, the SCCPAC's status was a County General Purpose Committee and, based on its contributions, the SCCCPAC should have changed its reporting committee status from a County General Purpose Committee to a City General Purpose Committee with the City of Santa Clara on the Statement of Organization form (Form 410).

A 100% SCCCPAC contribution for candidates in the City of Santa Clara does not qualify as a County General Purpose Committee. The SCCCPAC hit the 70% threshold during the fourth quarter of the reporting period and, upon that, should have amended their filing within the FPPC requirements. The FPPC regulation (see Definitions) states "A general purpose committee shall verify its filing jurisdiction quarterly at the end of March, June, September and December." The SCCCPAC should have amended its Form 410 – Statement of Organization.

FINDING 2 -

Year 2011 – The SCCCPAC reported \$5,770 in expenditures for fundraising, professional fees, and office supplies. Additionally, SCCCPAC did not have any election contributions or expenditures in 2011. There is nothing on record of the SCCCPAC amending its designation to a City General Purpose Committee or any filings thereafter with the City of Santa Clara.

Potential Violation 2

The SCCCPAC should have adjusted its General Purpose Committee filing from a County General Purpose Committee to a City General Purpose Committee in 2011 since all of SCCCPAC's 2010 election costs were for local (City of Santa Clara) candidates. There is nothing on record of the SCCCPAC amending its designation to a City General Purpose Committee. Additionally, the SCCCPAC did not file any campaign disclosure forms thereafter with the City of Santa Clara.

HONORABLE MAYOR & CITY COUNCIL

RE: Report and Findings – SCCCPAC Filings (2010 – 2014) October 29, 2018 Page 5 of 9

FINDING 3(a) –

Year 2012 – SCCCPAC reported \$35,264 in expenditures for the year. These expenditures and contributions consist of \$15,486 for fundraising, professional fees, and office supplies and \$19,778 in election cost supporting three City of Santa Clara council candidates and four other County of Santa Clara candidates. The SCCCPAC contributed 82.3% (\$16,278) of its total contribution (\$19,778) to support three City of Santa Clara council candidates in 2012. The remaining 17.7% (\$3,500) was split between four candidates running for assembly and school board within the County of Santa Clara.

FINDING 3(b) -

The City Auditor has confirmed that the County of Santa Clara does not have a Campaign Disclosure Form on record from the SCCCPAC for the period of time, October 21 through December 31, 2012. It appears that the SCCCPAC did not comply with the FPPC established filing deadlines for this period.

Potential Violation 3(a)

The SCCCPAC contributed 82.3% (\$16,278) of its total contribution (\$19,778) to support three City of Santa Clara council candidates in 2012. The remaining 17.7% (\$3,500) was split between four candidates running for assembly and school board within the County of Santa Clara.

At the time of these expenditures and contributions, the SCCCPAC remained designated as a County General Purpose Committee. SCCCPAC made more than 70% of its contributions or expenditures to support candidates in the City of Santa Clara. Based on its contributions, the SCCCPAC should have changed its reporting committee status from a County General Purpose Committee to a City General Purpose Committee with the City of Santa Clara on the Statement of Organization form (Form 410).

An 82.3% SCCCPAC contribution for candidates in the City of Santa Clara does not qualify as a County General Purpose Committee. The SCCCPAC hit the 70% threshold during the fourth quarter of the reporting period and, upon that, should have amended their filing within the FPPC requirements. The FPPC regulation (see Definitions) states "A general purpose committee shall verify its filing jurisdiction quarterly at the end of March, June, September and December." The SCCCPAC should have amended its Form 410 – Statement of Organization and filed all disclosure forms thereafter with the City of Santa Clara.

Potential Violation 3(b)

Campaign disclosure form filings should be made during an election year according to the timelines established by the PFFC. The SCCPAC filed its first semi-annual

HONORABLE MAYOR & CITY COUNCIL RE: Report and Findings – SCCCPAC Filings (2010 – 2014) October 29, 2018 Page 6 of 9

statements and subsequent pre-election statements through October 20, 2012. There is no SCCPAC filing for the period of October 21 through December 2012. The City Auditor and the City Clerk's Office has confirmed that the County of Santa Clara does not have a filing on record from the SCCCPAC for this period of time. It appears that the SCCCPAC did not comply with the FPPC established filing deadlines for this period.

FINDING 4 -

Year 2013 – The SCCCPAC reported \$10,360 in expenditures on fundraising and office supplies. Additionally, SCCCPAC did not have any election contributions or expenditures in 2013.

Potential Violation 4

The SCCCPAC should have adjusted its designation from a County General Purpose Committee to a City General Purpose Committee in 2013 since 82.3% of SCCCPAC's 2012 election costs were for local (City of Santa Clara) candidates. There is nothing on record of the SCCCPAC amending its designation to a City General Purpose Committee. Additionally, the SCCCPAC did not file any campaign disclosure forms thereafter with the City of Santa Clara.

FINDING 5 -

Year 2014 – SCCCPAC reported \$55,594 in expenditures and contributions for the year. Expenditures and contributions consisted of \$30,458 in fundraising, bank fees, and professional services fees. Additionally, SCCCPAC expended \$25,136 in election contributions supporting three City of Santa Clara council candidates and three other County of Santa Clara candidates.

Potential Violation 5

The SCCCPAC contributed 93.2% (\$23,419) of its total contribution (\$25,136) to support three City of Santa Clara council candidates in 2014. The remaining 6.8% (\$1,717) was split between three candidates running for assembly and school board within the County of Santa Clara.

At the time of these expenditures and contributions, the SCCCPAC remained designated as a County General Purpose Committee. SCCCPAC made more than 70% of its contributions or expenditures to support candidates in the City of Santa Clara. Based on its contributions, the SCCCPAC should have changed its reporting committee status from a County General Purpose Committee to a City General Purpose Committee with the City of Santa Clara on the Statement of Organization form (Form 410) and also should have filed required campaign disclosure forms thereafter with the City of Santa Clara.

HONORABLE MAYOR & CITY COUNCIL RE: Report and Findings – SCCCPAC Filings (2010 – 2014) October 29, 2018 Page 7 of 9

A 93.2% SCCCPAC contribution for candidates in the City of Santa Clara does not qualify as a County General Purpose Committee. The SCCCPAC hit the 70% threshold during the fourth quarter of the reporting period and, upon that, should have amended its campaign disclosure filing within the FPPC requirements. The FPPC regulation (see Definitions) states "A general purpose committee shall verify its filing jurisdiction quarterly at the end of March, June, September and December." There is nothing on record of the SCCCPAC amending its designation to a City General Purpose Committee. Additionally, the SCCCPAC did not file any campaign disclosure forms thereafter with the City of Santa Clara.

SUMMARY FINDINGS

Based on the contribution percentages from 2010 through 2014, the SCCCPAC should have designated itself as a City General Purpose Committee and thereafter should have filed all FPPC campaign disclosure forms with the City of Santa Clara's City Clerk's Office.

Based on the City Auditor's review, SCCCPAC did have more than 70% of its contributions or expenditures to support or oppose candidates or measures voted on in only one city (City of Santa Clara).

Year	% in County of Santa Clara	% in City of Santa Clara	Comments/Notes
2010	0.	100%	
2011	0	0	No election. SCCCPAC reported fundraising expenditures with the County.
2012	17.7%	82.3%	There is no SCCPAC filing for the period of October 21 through December 2012 on file at the County of Santa Clara or City of Santa Clara.
2013	0	0	No election. SCCCPAC reported fundraising expenditures with the County.
2014	6.8%	93.2%	

Table 1: Summary of SCCCPAC Contribution and Expenditures (by %) - 2010 through 2014

The above findings show that the SCCCPAC should have designated itself as a City General Purpose Committee. There is no amended Form 410 for the years reviewed, 2010 through 2014. It should be noted that SCCCPAC is still out of compliance with properly designating itself as a City General Purpose Committee. Given the above findings, a separate review is warranted for the years 2015 through 2018 (present).

NEXT STEPS

Upon the above findings and potential violations, the City Clerk's Office contacted the FPPC to seek guidance on how to address the appropriate campaign disclosure filing

HONORABLE MAYOR & CITY COUNCIL RE: Report and Findings – SCCCPAC Filings (2010 – 2014) October 29, 2018 Page 8 of 9

status for the SCCCPAC. Upon discussion with a representative from the FPPC, it was requested that the City forward the matter to the Enforcement Unit for review. Based on the City Auditor's findings, and City Clerk's confirmation, the attached filings are being sent to the FPPC for further review and determination.

POTENTIAL FINES AND PENALTIES

The burden of correctly filing all FPPC disclosure forms per PFFC requirements is not placed on the Office of the City Clerk, City Auditor, City of Santa Clara, or County of Santa Clara. Organizations engaged in activities that require the filing of these types of FPPC forms are responsible for making correct determinations about how to file their forms and in accordance with the PFFC requirements. In this case, the Treasurer of SCCCPAC, Mr. David Tobkin, should have completed the FPPC required due diligence to "verify its filing jurisdiction quarterly at the end of March, June, September and December."

The law requires that when it is determined that filings have not been correctly filed, that fines and penalties will be applied. Given these findings, there is a potential for fines and penalties due to the absence of correctly filing these forms for the years 2010 through 2014.

Late Fines: According to Government Code Section California Government Code 91013 (a) and (b)), a late filing penalty of up to \$10 per day may be assessed for each day the statement is late. The FPPC or a local filing officer cannot extend a filing deadline. A committee may request a waiver of late fines assessed by the local filing officer or the Secretary of State.

Failure to File: Filing officers must refer committees to the FPPC or another enforcement agency if a committee fails to file a campaign statement. Administrative penalties of up to \$5,000 per violation may be assessed. (See Government Code Section 83116.) Committees fined by the FPPC Enforcement Division are listed on the FPPC website.

Issued on October 29, 2018, in the City of Santa Clara, to the Mayor and City Council by the City Clerk and City Auditor:

Nadine Nader Appointed City Clerk Elections Official

Linh Lam City Auditor

HONORABLE MAYOR & CITY COUNCIL

RE: Report and Findings – SCCCPAC Filings (2010 – 2014) October 29, 2018 Page 9 of 9

Attachments:

- 1. Santa Clara News article, dated October 9, 2018
- 2. Santa Clara Weekly/Silicon Valley Voice, dated October 11, 2018
- 3. City Clerk's Letter, dated May 4, 2010
- 4. NOT ATTACHED--FPPC Campaign Disclosure Forms for SCCCPAC on file with the City Clerk's Office

REPORT: Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce PAC Failed to File Campaign Reports wit... Page 1 of 12

Attachment 1

 \equiv Menu

OCTOBER 9, 2018

REPORT: Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce PAC Failed to File Campaign Reports with City for Four Years

By Robert Haugh

Tonight, the City Council will take the next step in finding someone to manage the Convention Center. They got rid of the **Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce** after an <u>audit</u> <u>showed mismanagement and malfeasance.</u>

City staff also referred the info from the audit to the **IRS** and **California Fair Political Practices Commission (FPPC)**.

https://santaclaranews.org/2018/10/09/report-santa-clara-chamber-of-commerce-pac-faile... 10/29/2018

The City may have more stuff to look into.

According to our research, the Chamber failed to file FPPC reports with the City for four years! Yup. From April 2010 to October 2014 there are no reports with the City Clerk. The Chamber may have filed them with other agencies and forgot to file with the City. Oops.

Here's what's publicly available on the City site:

NetFile, Inc.					
152858711	Commerce Political Action Committee (PAC)	10/31/2014	496	Original	
152858513	Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce Political Action Committee (PAC)	10/31/2014	FPPC 496	Original	
152858455	Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce Political Action Committee (PAC)	10/31/2014	FPPC 465	Original	
152858702	Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce Political Action Committee (PAC)	10/31/2014	FPPC 465	Original	
152858740	Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce Political Action Committee (PAC)	10/31/2014	FPPC 465	Original	
152858723	Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce Political Action Committee (PAC)	10/30/2014	FPPC 496	Original	
152788270	Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce Political Action Committee (PAC)	10/24/2014	FPPC 465	Original	

152776063	Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce Political Action Committee (PAC)	10/24/2014	FPPC 465	Original
152776106	Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce Political Action Committee (PAC)	10/24/2014	FPPC 465	Original
152775312	Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce Political Action Committee (PAC)	10/23/2014	FPPC 460	Original
152775959	Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce Political Action Committee (PAC)	10/23/2014	FPPC . 496	Original
152775328	Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce Political Action Committee (PAC)	10/23/2014	FPPC 496	Original
152788539	Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce Political Action Committee (PAC)	10/23/2014	FPPC 496	Original
10233138	Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce Political Action Committee (PAC)	04/21/2010	FPPC 410	Original

The last time that this type of violation was discovered was 2016. Then, former City Clerk **Rod Diridon, Jr.** <u>fined **BLUPAC**</u> <u>\$9,000 for filing their reports late</u>. BLUPAC is the "dark money" group with ties to the **49ers**.

Who's In Charge of the PAC?

Lou Mariani of **Mariani's Inn** is still the head of Chamber's Government Relations Committee, thought we heard he was cutting ties. We heard others have dropped from the PAC, too.

Accountant **Dave Tobkin** is still the PAC's Treasurer. He's had that role since 2010. Tobkin is technically responsible for the reports. Here's what the FPPC website says:

<u>Campaign treasurers sign campaign disclosure reports under</u> <u>penalty of perjury and are liable in enforcement actions for</u> <u>violations of the Act. It is important to understand the basic</u> <u>rules and requirements associated with transparent campaign</u> <u>disclosure. No individual should accept the position of a</u> <u>committee treasurer as a mere figurehead.</u> (language in bold <u>on the website)</u>

According to our sources, other PAC members are:

- Miles Barber Santa Clara Weekly Lobbyist/Publisher
- Dave DeLozier former Councilman

https://santaclaranews.org/2018/10/09/report-santa-clara-chamber-of-commerce-pac-faile... 10/29/2018

- John Mlnarik attorney for Dominic Caserta and Santa
 Clara Rotary Club President
- Andy Ratermann SCUSD board member

According to the Chamber's FPPC report from September 2018, they have less than \$13,000 in the bank. They may need that for fines. And maybe more. If BLUPAC got fined \$9,000 for being late, how much will the Chamber get fined for not reporting for four years? We'll be following this story closely.

Share this article
♥ Twitter Facebook 8 G+ Google Print LinkedIn ♥ Pocket 🐨 Reddit
🛇 Telegram 🛛 🖬 Email < More
Like this:
Like Be the first to like this.

Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce PAC Filed All Required Finance Reports - The Silico... Page 1 of 2

Attachment 2

THE SILICON VALLEY VOICE

Santa Clara Chamber Of Commerce PAC Filed All Required Finance Reports

Carolyn Schuk POSTED 04:08 PM, October 11, 2018



"We filed what we were told to file by the [Santa Clara] City Clerk," said Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce PAC treasurer Dave Tobkin. The new — and false — accusation is City Hall's latest offensive against the Chamber.

The Chamber of Commerce is a separate legal entity from the Chamber PAC, although the Council majority refers to the two groups interchangeably.

The City Council summarily pulled the Chamber's longtime contract for operating the Santa Clara Convention Center & Victors Bureau in June, accusing the Chamber of malfeasance and launching an audit.

SPONSORED

Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce PAC Filed All Required Finance Reports - The Silico... Page 2 of 2



At Tuesday night's Council meeting Santa Clara Vice Mayor Kathy Watanabe reported that she read in fired Weekly sports writer Robert Haugh's "online blog" that the Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce PAC didn't file finance reports between 2010 and 2014.

Watanabe asked, with some urgency, that the City Manager investigate this.

The City Manager won't have to look far. The Chamber PAC filed all the required campaign finance reports during those years with the Santa Clara County Registrar of Voters.

Those reports were filed with the County because the PAC endorses county, state assembly and school board candidates and measures, as well as those in the City of Santa Clara. Tobkin says that the PAC later decided to file also with the City, even though they were told by then-City Clerk Rod Diridon Jr. that they didn't have to.

State law requires that "committees formed or existing primarily to support or oppose candidates or local measures *to be voted upon in any number of jurisdictions within one county* ... shall file the original ... with the elections official of the county."

The County only started publishing the forms digitally in 2016, so if you want to see reports prior to that you have to visit the ROV's office on Berger Drive in San José. However, the record of the reports that have been filed is published online.

All this information can be found on the California Secretary of State's website, and candidates and officeholders in California are expected to know what the laws are.



CITY OF SANTA CLARA CITY CLERK'S OFFICE



5/4/2010

Mr. David Tobkin Treasurer Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce PAC 1850 Warburton Avenue Santa Clara, CA 95050

Dear Mr. Tobkin,

This letter is to follow-up on conversations between representatives of the Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce Political Action Committee and the City Clerk's Office. It has been confirmed for the City Clerk's Office that your committee is a county committee and not a Santa Clara city committee.

As such, your local filing officer is the County Registrar of Voters. However, it is important to note that in some instances you may be obligated by the Elections Code to file with the City Clerk's Office in the City of Santa Clara.

Please call me at 615-2220 or the FPPC at (866) 275-3772 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Rod Diridon, Jr., City Clerk and Auditor

Statement of Organization Recipient Committee		Type or j	Type or print in ink				CALIF	STATEMENT OF ORGANIZATION		
Statement Type	Statement Type 🛛 Initial Not yet qualified 🗋 or		Amendment List I.D. number: List		Termination – See Part 5 List I.D. number:		APR 21 2010 City Clerk's Office City of Santa Clare		For Official Use Only	
	04 / 15 / 10 Date qualified as committee	Date qualified as (If applica		/ Date	of Termination					
1. Committee Inf	ormation			2.	Treasurer and Other	Principal Offi	cers			
NAME OF COMMITT	EE ·	<u></u>			NAME OF TREASURER					
Santa Clara C	hamber of Commerce Politie	cal Action Comm	ittee (PAC)		David D. Tobkin					
					STREET ADDRESS (NO P.O.)	BOX)		····		
					2397 Forest Ave. Sui	te A				
STREET ADDRESS	NO P.O. BOX)				CITY		STATE	ZIP CODE	AREA CODE/PHONE	
1850 Warburto	on Ave.				San Jose		CA	95128	408-247-7642	
CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	AREA CODE/PH	ONE	NAME OF ASSISTANT TREAS	URER, IF ANY		<u> </u>		
Santa Clara	CA	95050	408-244-824	4	Donna Tobkin					
MAILING ADDRESS	(IF DIFFERENT)	·····			STREET ADDRESS (NO P.O. I	BOX)				
	(, , _ , _ , , , , , , , , , , , ,				2607 Birchtree Lane					
OPTIONAL: FAX/E			·		CITY		STATE	ZIP CODE	AREA CODE/PHONE	
					Santa Clara		CA	95051	408-984-8299	
408-244-7830	/ www.santclarachambe	er.org			NAME OF PRINCIPAL OFFICE	R(S)				
COUNTY OF DOMIC		ERE COMMITTEE IS A	CTIVE IF DIFFERENT	-	David D. Tobkin					
Santa Clara		, of bounder			STREET ADDRESS (NO P.O. I	•				
Santa Gala					2397 Forest Ave. Sui	te A				
Affach additional ir	Attach additional information on appropriately labeled continuation sheets.				CITY		STATE	ZIP CODE	AREA CODE/PHONE	
					San Jose		CA	95128	408-247-7642	

3. Verification

I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein is true and complete. I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on4/21/10	By Kard A-loth
DATE	SIGNATURE OF TREASURER OR ASSISTANT TREASURER
Executed on	Ву
DATE	SIGNATURE OF CONTROLLING OFFICEHOLDER, CANDIDATE, OR STATE MEASURE PROPONENT
Executed on	Ву
DATE	SIGNATURE OF CONTROLLING OFFICEHOLDER, CANDIDATE, OR STATE MEASURE PROPONENT
Executed on	By
DATE	SIGNATURE OF CONTROLLING OFFICEHOLDER, CANDIDATE, OR STATE MEASURE PROPONENT

FPPC Form 410 (June/09) FPPC Toll-Free Helpline: 866/ASK-FPPC (866/275-3772)

Statement of Organization

Recipient Committee	CALIFORNIA 410 FORM
INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE	Page 2
COMMITTEE NAME	I.D. NUMBER
Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce Political Action Committee (PAC)	Applied for

4. Type of Committee Complete the applicable sections.

Controlled Committee

- List the name of each controlling officeholder, candidate, or state measure proponent. If candidate or officeholder controlled, also list the elective office sought or held, and district number, if any, and the year of the election.
- List the political party with which each officeholder or candidate is affiliated or check "non-partisan."
- If this committee acts jointly with another controlled committee, list the name and identification number of the other controlled committee.

NAME OF CANDIDATE/OFFICEHOLDER/STATE MEASURE PROPONENT	ELECTIVE OFFICE SOUGHT OR HELD (INCLUDE DISTRICT NUMBER IF APPLICABLE)	YEAR OF ELECTION	PARTY
			Non-Partisan
			Non-Partisan

· List the financial institution where the campaign bank account is located (controlled "candidate election" committees only)

NAME OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTION	AREA CODE/PHONE	BANK ACCOUNT NU	IMBER
ADDRESS .	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE:

Primarily Formed Committee Primarily formed to support or oppose specific candidates or measures in a single election. List below:

CANDIDATE(S) NAME OR MEASURE(S) FULL TITLE (INCLUDE BALLOT NO. OR LETTER)	CANDIDATE(S) OFFICE SOUGHT OR HELD OR MEASURE(S) JURISDICTION (INCLUDE DISTRICT NO., CITY OR COUNTY, AS APPLICABLE)	CHECK	ONE
		SUPPORT	OPPOSE
		SUPPORT	OPPOSE

FPPC Form 410 (June/09) FPPC Toll-Free Helpline: 866/ASK-FPPC (866/275-3772)

STATEMENT OF ORGANIZATION

Statement of Organization Recipient Committee	STATEMENT OF ORGANIZATION CALIFORNIA 410 FORM
INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE	Page 3
COMMITTEE NAME	LD. NUMBER
Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce Political Action Committee (PAC)	Applied for
4. Type of Committee (Continued)	
General Purpose Committee Not formed to support or oppose specific candidates or measures in a single election. Check only one box: CITY Committee COUNTY Committee STATE Committee	
PROVIDE BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVITY	
Review, research and recommend support of and/or opposition to proposed ballot measures and legislation, ordinances of city, coun	ty and state bodies
Sponsored Committee List additional sponsors on an attachment.	
NAME OF SPONSOR INDUSTRY GROUP OR AFFILIATION OF SPONSOR	
STREET ADDRESS NO. AND STREET CITY STATE ZIP CODE	
Small Contributor Committee	

5. Termination Requirements By signing the verification, the treasurer, assistant treasurer and/or candidate, officeholder, or proponent certify that all of the following conditions have been met:

- · This committee has ceased to receive contributions and make expenditures;
- · This committee does not anticipate receiving contributions or making expenditures in the future;
- · This committee has eliminated or has no intention or ability to discharge all debts, loans received, and other obligations;
- · This committee has no surplus funds; and
- This committee has filed all campaign statements required by the Political Reform Act disclosing all reportable transactions.
 - There are restrictions on the disposition of surplus campaign funds held by elected officers who are leaving office and by defeated candidates. Refer to Government Code Section 89519.
 - Leftover funds of ballot measure committees may be used for political, legislative or governmental purposes under Government Code Sections 89511 -89518, and are subject to Elections Code Section 18680 and FPPC Regulation 18521.5.