RESOLUTION NO.

A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF SANTA CLARA, CALIFORNIA TO DENY THE APPEAL AND UPHOLD THE ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW APPROVAL OF A THREE-STORY DATA CENTER PROJECT LOCATED AT 2175 MARTIN AVENUE, SANTA CLARA, **CALIFORNIA**

> PLN2019-14132 (Architectural Committee Appeal) PLN 2018-13745 (Architectural Review) CEQ2019-01071 (Mitigated Negative Declaration)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF SANTA CLARA AS **FOLLOWS:**

WHEREAS, on February 15, 2019, Scott Rynders ("Applicant"), on behalf of LVP Martin Avenue Associates ("Property Owner"), filed a development application for a 1.68-acre site located at 2175 Martin Avenue which is currently occupied by a one-story industrial building totaling 31,500 square feet, landscaping and surface paving ("Project Site");

WHEREAS, the development application involves Architectural Review of the development proposal to construct a three-story, approximately 80,000 square foot data center building with back-up diesel generators, surface parking, landscaping and site improvements ("Project"), as shown on the Development Plans, attached hereto and incorporated by this reference;

WHEREAS, the Project includes the demolition of the existing buildings, surface paving and site landscaping;

WHEREAS, a Mitigated Negative Declaration ("MND") was prepared for the Project and a Notice of Availability was issued on August 5, 2019 for 20-day agency and public review and comment period in accordance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and closed on August 26, 2019;

WHEREAS, the MND identified potential significant impacts of Project development that with implementation of the mitigation measures identified in the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting

Rev. Rev: 11/22/17

Program ("MMRP") will reduce potential mitigation measures to less than significant and will be

incorporated into the Project;

WHEREAS, on September 18, 2019, the Architectural Committee held a duly noticed public

hearing to review the Project, at which the firm representing Santa Clara Citizens for Sensible

Industry (SCCSI), Adams Broadwell Joseph and Cardozo, expressed verbal concerns and

comments on the MND, and following which, Applicant provided verbal responses to the

comments;

WHEREAS, following review of the Staff Report, MND, MMRP and all verbal and written

evidence, the Architectural Committee adopted the MND and MMRP and approved Architectural

Review of the Project;

WHEREAS, in the event the Applicant or others affected are not satisfied with the decision of

the Architectural Committee, he or she may within seven days after such decision appeal in

writing to the Planning Commission;

WHEREAS, on September 25, 2019, Adams Broadwell Joseph and Cardozo, on behalf of

Santa Clara Citizens for Sensible Industry ("Appellants"), filed an appeal of the Architectural

Committee's action to adopt the MND and MMRP and approve Architectural Review of the

Project;

WHEREAS, the September 25, 2019 appeal raised largely the same issues that the Appellants

raised in their comment letter during the public review period of the MND and at the

Architectural Committee meeting; with respect to the architectural review, the letter simply

alleged that the Project would be inconsistent with the General Plan:

WHEREAS, the environmental consultant ICF prepared a "Response to Comments" on the

MND and a "Supplemental Memo for the 2175 Martin Avenue LS1 Data Center Project" that

responds to the Appellant's September 25, 2019 comments, and explains that the project would

be consistent with the General Plan:

Page 2 of 8

WHEREAS, on November 1, 2019, the notice of public hearing for the November 13, 2019 Planning Commission meeting was posted in three conspicuous locations within 300 feet of the Project Site, and on November 5, 2019, notice was mailed to interested parties within 500 feet of the Project Site boundaries, in accordance with the City Code; and

WHEREAS, November 13, 2019, the Planning Commission held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the Architectural Committee's adoption of the MND and MMRP and approval of the Project, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to provide testimony and present evidence, both in support of and in opposition to the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF SANTA CLARA AS FOLLOWS:

- That the Planning Commission hereby finds that the above Recitals are true and correct and by this reference makes them part hereof.
- 2. Pursuant to SCCC Section 18.76.020, the Planning Commission determines that the following findings exist to support architectural approval of the Project:
- A. That any off-street parking area, screening strips and other facilities and improvements necessary to secure the purpose and intent of the Zoning Ordinance and the General Plan of the City are a part of the proposed development, in that:
 - The project provides 20 on-site parking spaces consistent with the 1:4,000 parking requirement for data center uses. The project includes off-site public improvements along the public right of-way fronting the project site and on-site landscape improvements in the parking areas. A five-foot clear landscape strip adjacent to the curb with a five-foot sidewalk behind are proposed to link adjacent properties and provide pedestrian access to the site consistent with complete streets design. The project also includes landscaping within the front building setback and parking areas in conformance with the development standards for the ML zoning district. At grade outdoor equipment would be screened from the public right-of-way behind the

Rev. Rev: 11/22/17

proposed building and adjacent building on the property to the west. Roof mounted

equipment would be screened from view along the public-right-way by roof panels

atop the new building.

B. That the design and location of the proposed development and its relation to

neighboring developments and traffic is such that it will not impair the desirability of investment

or occupation in the neighborhood, will not unreasonably interfere with the use and enjoyment of

neighboring developments, and will not create traffic congestion or hazards, in that:

• The project invests in the development of a Class A building structure and site

improvements that will enhance the streetscape and increase property values by

replacing derelict buildings, asphalt surface parking areas, and minimal landscaping

on the site and provide a catalyst for future investment for enhancement and

development opportunities in the project area.

The project site is located within the ML zoning district. Data centers generate few

employees and relatively infrequent delivery of materials; consequently, the Project

is not anticipated to produce many vehicle trips. Moreover, a data center is a

permitted use within the ML zoning district. Sufficient parking is provided to

accommodate employee parking demands on-site and prevent spillover parking onto

the public right-of-way. Ingress and egress are provided with the two existing

driveways located on the south side and the west side of the property of the site that

provide efficient site circulation on the property.

C. That the design and location of the proposed development is such that it is in

keeping with the character of the neighborhood and is such as not to be detrimental to the

harmonious development contemplated by the Zoning Ordinance and the General Plan of the

City, in that:

The project site is developed with a one-story industrial building that is currently

vacant and previously occupied for industrial warehousing, manufacturing, and office

purposes. The project site is bordered by one-story commercial office and industrial

warehouse uses and neighboring one-story office and industrial buildings. Data

centers are a permitted use in the ML zoning district.

The proposal is to redevelop and improve the project site with construction of a

three-story, 80,000 square foot data center in a Class A structure with a strong,

contemporary urban design that would improve the visual character of the zone. The

project would include ancillary equipment (backup generators and above ground fuel

storage tanks), loading dock, circulation and parking, and landscape improvements

in conformance with the ML zoning district development standards and consistent

with the development of data centers throughout the City.

D. That the granting of such approval will not, under the circumstances of the

particular case, materially affect adversely the health, comfort or general welfare of persons

residing or working in the neighborhood of said development, and will not be materially

detrimental to the public welfare or injuries to property or improvements in said neighborhood, in

that:

• The project site is currently in poor condition and is an attractive nuisance for graffiti,

trespassing, and dumping of materials. The proposal is to invest in the

redevelopment of the site and improve the property with construction of a data center

and associated improvements, that includes on-site security and gated entries. The

project includes conditions of approval and would be subject to the City Code and

the mitigation measures set forth in the Mitigated Negative Declaration and Mitigation

Monitoring and Reporting Program with project development to minimize impacts of

development on neighboring properties.

E. That the proposed development, as set forth in the plans and drawings, is

consistent with the set of more detailed policies and criteria for architectural review as approved

and updated from time to time by the City Council, which set shall be maintained in the planning

division office, in that:

• The project supports high quality design in keeping with adopted design guidelines

for industrial development and the City's architectural review process consistent with

General Land Use Plan Policy 5.3.1-P3 as follows:

o The building design avoids the orientation of loading, service areas, and large

expanses of blank walls facing toward the street.

o The bulk, scale and height of the building is appropriate for the industrial sector

and approved data centers within the City.

o Façade elements and treatments are incorporated in the exterior building design

to enrich the building appearance.

o Driveway entrances are appropriate in number and location and are emphasized

by landscaping to provide a suitable focus and identification.

The parking layout is designed for maximum efficiency and incorporates

landscaping to minimize hardscape, provide shading to minimize heat absorption

and reflection, and enhance the visual attraction of the property.

o The project provides pedestrian connections to neighboring development with the

construction of a complete street section (5' landscape strip and 5' sidewalk) along

the project frontage.

o Screening of ground mounted and rooftop equipment from view along the public

right-of-way are integrated into the site and building design.

o The trash enclosure is incorporated within the loading dock so as not to be visible

from the public right-of-way and is accessible for service pick up.

o Overhead utilities along the project frontage will be undergrounded in a public

utility easement.

Page 6 of 8

- $_{\odot}$ Lighting of parking areas and building entrances are incorporated into the site
- and building design and will be directed downward so as not to reflect into the night
- sky, adjacent properties nor the public right-of-way.
- o The site is design incorporates water conservation features that include
- permeable pavers, recycled water for landscape irrigation, LED lighting, and cool
- roof system.
- 3. That based on the findings set forth in the Resolution and the evidence in the City Staff
 - Report, the Planning Commission hereby denies the appeal and upholds the
 - Architectural Committee's approval of the Project as set forth herein, as detailed in the
 - attached Development Plans and subject to the attached Conditions of Approval.
- 4. Effective date. This resolution shall become effective immediately.

///

///

///

///

///

///

I HEREBY CERTIFY THE FOREGOING TO BE A TRUE COPY OF A RESOLUTION PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF SANTA CLARA, CALIFORNIA, AT A REGULAR MEETING THEREOF HELD ON THE 13th DAY OF NOVEMBER 2019, BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES:	COMMISSIONERS:
NOES:	COMMISSIONERS:
ABSENT:	COMMISSIONERS:
ABSTAINED:	COMMISSIONERS:
	ATTEST:

ANDREW CRABTREE
DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
CITY OF SANTA CLARA

Attachments Incorporated by Reference:

- 1. Development Plans
- 2. Conditions of Approval

Resolution/ 2175 Martin Avenue SV1 Data Center Project – Architectural Review Approval Appeal Rev. Rev: 11/22/17