Council and Authorities Concurrent Meeting on 2020-04-07 2:00 PM

Meeting Time: 04-07-20 14:00


eComments Report

Meetings	Meeting Time	Agenda Items	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
Council and Authorities Concurrent Meeting on 2020-04-07 2:00 PM	04-07-20 14:00	52	4	1	2	1

Sentiments for All Meetings

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment



Council and Authorities Concurrent Meeting on 2020-04-07 2:00 PM 04-07-20 14:00


Agenda Name	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
2.F 20-25 Action on a Resolution to Adopt a List of Projects Senate Bill 1, The Road Repair and Accountability Act, for F 2020-21 [Council Pillar: Deliver and Enhance High Quality I Services and Infrastructure]	Fiscal Year	1 .	0	0
2.G 20-75 Adoption of a Resolution of Intention for Mainten No. 183 - Santa Clara Convention Center Complex [Counci and Enhance Quality Efficient Services and Infrastructure]		0	0	1
2.H 20-76 Adoption of a Resolution of Intention for Parking District No. 122 - Franklin Square [Council Pillar: Deliver an Quality Efficient Services and Infrastructure]		0	1	0
2.I 20-109 Action on a Design Professional Services Agree Planning + Design for the Pruneridge Avenue Complete Str Project and Related Budget Amendments [Council Pillar: D	eets Plan	0	1	0

Sentiments for All Agenda Items

Enhance High Quality Efficient Services and Infrastructure]


The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment



Agenda Item: eComments for 2.F 20-25 Action on a Resolution to Adopt a List of Projects Funded by Senate Bill 1, The Road Repair and Accountability Act, for Fiscal Year 2020-21 [Council Pillar: Deliver and Enhance High Quality Efficient Services and Infrastructure]

Overall Sentiment



Sudhanshu Jain


Location:

Submitted At: 4:53pm 04-07-20

San Jose does a great job with green paint to highlight bike lanes. Since these projects on Newhall and Monroe have a complete streets element, I hope that the City will use green paint liberally to highlight bike lanes like San Jose does.

Agenda Item: eComments for 2.G 20-75 Adoption of a Resolution of Intention for Maintenance District No. 183 - Santa Clara Convention Center Complex [Council Pillar: Deliver and Enhance Quality Efficient Services and Infrastructure]

Overall Sentiment



Sudhanshu Jain


Location:

Submitted At: 5:01pm 04-07-20

\$835,358 from the general fund to support this seems like a lot of money to me. Has there been any consideration or analysis of charging for parking in the convention center lots? This may be a source of revenue and would also discourage people from driving thus helping with the City's climate goals.

Agenda Item: eComments for 2.H 20-76 Adoption of a Resolution of Intention for Parking Maintenance District No. 122 - Franklin Square [Council Pillar: Deliver and Enhance Quality Efficient Services and Infrastructure]

Overall Sentiment



Sudhanshu Jain


Location:

Submitted At: 5:14pm 04-07-20

The total cost of maintaining Franklin Square is \$ 155,571.00. The owners of property at Franklin Square are contributing only \$14,200.00 meaning the rest is coming from the general fund. The assessment on property owners is only between 15 and 30 cents per square foot PER YEAR. That seems to be an overly subsidized rate. Santa Clara budgets were running a deficit before COVID and now cost recovery and balancing budgets is ever more critical.

Agenda Item: eComments for 2.I 20-109 Action on a Design Professional Services Agreement with Alta Planning + Design for the Pruneridge Avenue Complete Streets Plan Project and Related Budget Amendments [Council Pillar: Deliver and Enhance High Quality Efficient Services and Infrastructure]

Overall Sentiment



Susan Hinton

Location:

Submitted At: 8:44pm 04-07-20

Check this Alta Design URL, https://tinyurl.com/wngo2n6, and scroll down to the 2nd picture, above "User Centered Sldewalk Design." Isn't this the horrible design deployed on a few San Jose city streets that has everyone (pedestrians, bicyclists, car-drivers) incensed and worried about accidents? Also, why is BPAC being favored (3 special meetings in addition to 2 other meetings) over the vast majority of Pruneridge users who are automobile drivers? If passed, prepare for protests.