

PMM
DRH
10/20/21
Item 3

From: [Elizabeth Elliott](#)
To: [henry zoellner](#); [Planning Public Comment](#); [Tiffany Vien](#)
Cc: [Reena Brilliot](#); [Gloria Sciara](#)
Subject: 10/20/21 DRH Meeting - 3885 Baldwin
Date: Tuesday, October 19, 2021 3:16:45 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image003.png](#)

Good Afternoon,

Your email has been received in the Planning Public Comment email box and by way of this email the appropriate staff has been included for their review of your comments.

Your email will be part of the public record on this item. Should you wish to participate in tomorrow's meeting the Zoom information is located on the [DRH agenda on the city's website meeting page](#).

Thank you for taking the time to notify us of your concerns.

Regards,

ELIZABETH ELLIOTT

Planning Division | Community Development Department
1500 Warburton Avenue | Santa Clara, CA 95050
O : 408.615.2450 Direct : 408.615.2474

From: henry zoellner [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2021 10:33 AM
To: Planning Public Comment <PlanningPublicComment@santaclaraca.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Disapproval

Planning Division Members

Re the proposed permit for the enormous
development:

3885 Baldwin Drive:

We vigorously oppose the permit.

Seven BR for Seven families?

Will the owners remain in the property or will it be turned into a motel, a room and board hostel for single males or an Airbnb?

Is this a developer proposal as a SPEC DEVELOPMENT?

Why on earth SEVEN BR.?

Relatives from near and far?

The options for use expand EXPONENTIALLY.

Water use will exceed all restrictions on the rest of us in Westwood Oaks. If seven families, the cars added to the street could be 14 or more – 2 cars per family is the norm here.

We already have such a enormity on Baldwin. They can look directly into our private home without restriction from their lofty windows

This development can lead to the destruction of Westwood Oaks as a 500 single family home community.

Why do they want to destroy the tranquility of a 60 year old community whose original and lasting objective was to provide ownership and privacy to 500 peaceful families?

How can the planning department not have rejected the permit out of hand as an unsatisfactory object not consistent with the neighborhood?

What Next? Industrial? Four houses per standard 1/8th acre per lot? Or high rise "PROJECTS"?

DISCUSSED THAT THERE IS EVEN A HEARING:

Henry and Sally Zoellner
3837 Hancock Dr.
Santa Clara. CA 95051